The Model Characterization Pattern (MCP)



S*Pattern for All Computational Models



In a Nutshell: What you can do with the MCP in **Computational Model Connected Projects and Enterprises**

- 1. Rapidly generate very systematic model requirements for new or existing models, for use in model development, verification, validation, and life cycle management.
- More effectively plan new or improved computational models, and know when you need them, 2. versus making use of existing model assets.
- Lower the experience threshold needed to plan and manage computational models, including model 3. VVUQ.
- More effectively manage large collections of diverse computational models and related information. 4.
- Improve access to collections of models by exposing their characteristics to users more effectively. 5.
- More effectively share models across supply chains and regulatory domains. 6.
- Lower the cost and time necessary to obtain trusted/credible models in regulated or other domains. 7.
- Use or manage models that were generated by others; increase the range of others who can 8. effectively use models that you generate; reduce the likelihood of model misuse.
- Improve the accumulation and effective use of model-based enterprise knowledge. 9.
- Improve the integration of model-related work across specific engineering disciplines and overall 10. systems engineering.
- Increase ability to manage the integration of multiple computational models (e.g., using FMI), 11. including their integrated VVUQ.

Contents

- Origins: A Community Effort
- An Increasingly Model-Based World
- Challenges for Model Stakeholders
- Phenomena, PIRT, Computational Models, System Models
- The Model Characterization Pattern (MCP)—an S*Pattern
- Configurable MCP Feature Groups for Models (Stakeholder Requirements)
- Configurable MCP Domain Pattern for Models
- Configurable MCP Technical Requirements for Models
- Use in Projects: Configuring the MCP for a Model or Project
- Use in Projects: What you can do with the MCP in a Project
- Infrastructure: Mapping MCP to specific company practices, tools, languages, model types, artifacts
- Patterns: Accumulating Trustable Model-Based Knowledge
- Want to Learn More? Want to Participate?
- References
- <u>Appendix I:</u> MCP Features--Configurable Stakeholder Requirements for a Computational Model
- <u>Appendix II:</u> MCP Technical Requirements--Configurable Technical Requirements for a Computational Model
- <u>Appendix III:</u> Model Credibility Assessment Frameworks (CAFs)
- <u>Appendix IV</u>: S*Models, S*Patterns, S*Metamodel
- <u>Appendix V</u>: ASELCM S*Pattern, Trusted Models, Effective Group Learning
- <u>Appendix VI:</u> Example of a Configured MCP





- International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE)--Model-Based Patterns Working Group:
 - Model Planning & Characterization Pattern (MCP) formalized universal model wrapper, across diverse models from INCOSE and other model-oriented societies and communities;
- <u>ASME Model V&V 50 Subcommittee--Model Life Cycle Working Group</u>:
 - Model VVUQ guidelines and standards authoring for establishing and maintaining computational model credibility across life cycles;
- V4 Institute (V4I--an NCDMM Institute):
 - Growing related virtual model capabilities across industry communities of practice;
- ICTT System Sciences:
 - —Mapping to object-oriented S*Pattern, for accessibility in all enabled OMG SysML[®] system modeling tools.



An Increasingly Model-Based World

- If we expect to use models to support critical decisions, then we are placing <u>increased trust in models</u>:
 - Critical financial, other business decisions
 - Human life safety
 - Societal impacts
 - Extending human capability
- Requires that we <u>characterize the nature</u> of that trust and manage its award, use:
- The Validation, Verification, and Uncertainty Quantification (VVUQ) of the models themselves.











Don't forget: A model (on the left) <u>may</u> be used for system verification or validation (on the right!)

Quantitative Fidelity, including Uncertainty Quantification (UQ)

- There is a large body of literature on a mathematical subset of the UQ problem, at the heart of this subject.
- But, some additional <u>systems</u> work is needed, and has been in progress, toward the more general VVUQ framework, suitable for general systems VVUQ standards or guidelines.

General structure of uncertainty / confidence tracing:



- Do the modeled external Interactions *qualitatively* cover the modeled Stakeholder Features over the range of intended subject system situations of interest?
- Quantify confidence / uncertainty that the modeled <u>Stakeholder Feature Attributes</u> quantitatively represent the real system concerns of the subject system Stakeholders with sufficient accuracy over the range of intended situation envelopes, for intended model use.
- Quantify confidence / uncertainty that the modeled <u>Technical Performance Attributes</u> quantitatively represent the real system external behavior of the subject system with sufficient accuracy over the range of intended situation envelopes, for intended model use.



Related ASME activities and resources

- ASME has an active set of teams writing guidelines and standards on the Verification and Validation of Computational Models:
 - Inspired by the proliferation of computational models (FEA, CFD, Thermal, Stress/Strain, etc.)
 - It could fairly be said that this historical background means that effort was not focused on what most systems engineers would call "system-level models"
- Also conducts annual Symposium on Validation and Verification of Computational Models, in May.
- To participate in this work, in 2016 the chair of the INCOSE Patterns Working Group joined the ASME VV50 Committee on behalf of INCOSE:
 - With the idea that the framework ASME set as foundation could apply well to systems level models; and . . .
 - with a pre-existing belief that system level models are not as different from discipline-specific physics models as believed by systems community.
- Subsequently, the ASME V&V 50 Model Life Cycle WG Chair addressed the INCOSE IW2017 MBSE Workshop, on the related activity. (See References section)

Challenges for Model Stakeholders

- The underlying basis of Model VVUQ is foundational competency in computational model practices already established within a relatively small community of experts and illustrated in related industry references, standards, texts, classes, and technical societies.
- But beyond this, model-intense enterprises are concerned with the further promulgation of virtual model practice into much larger internal communities of practice and their supply chains, domain regulators, and the extended ecosystem of the future Model-Based Economy.
- Accordingly, we must address the challenges to organizational, skill, and cultural issues that can limit future success unless addressed. These challenges include

- 1. <u>Scaling up</u> to the population of people and volume of models and model transactions to be addressed in a world in which these will grow by orders of magnitude, overwhelming what might not otherwise be addressed by a more limited population of deeply expert model authors, model users, or model dependents--a world in which models are also being exchanged more extensively across supply chains beyond their originators.
- **2.** <u>Managing models over their entire life cycle</u>, particularly for long-life models, including users and maintainers far from the model originator in both space (global supply chains) and time (decades).
- **3.** <u>Increasing use of what has already been learned</u> (especially by others) about specific modeled product and system domains in past model cycles, so that what the same work and costly lesson discovery path is not repeatedly traveled at a cost in time, effort, and risk of model impact on human lives and other assets.
- 4. <u>Packaging general principles as actionable assets</u> moving from already described general advice, principles, and broad guidance of text books, classes, and standards, to wider and more accessible impact by packaging as structured actionable assets (data structures, tooling, actionable learning, etc.) delivering value without requiring as deep conscious expertise in detailed practice (e.g., packaging analysis of uncertainty propagation using configurable domain specific patterns, or enabling standards that are themselves models directly downloaded and immediately used in projects, shortening adoption cycles).
- **5.** <u>**Preparing for a more building-block world**</u>, akin to the 1960's transformation from discrete electronics to integrated circuits, but in this case for model IP. Lifting all boats by enabling more contribution of multiple players to a world of integrated systems of models, without compromise to trust.
- 6. <u>Unifying external metadata "wrapper" (label) across all models</u> that will continue to be more and more diverse in their internal structure, theory, tooling, domain specifics, methodologies, styles, physics vs. data origins, and other aspects, to reduce the growth rate of challenge facing regulators and other judges of the credibility of these diverse models, appearing in a growing flood.

Diverse Virtual Models of All Types

FEA Model





ODE Model



CFD Model











Physics-Based PDE Model Data-Driven Bayesian <u>Network Model</u>



Physics-Based Model

- Predicts the external behavior of the System of Interest, visible externally to the external actors with which it interacts.
- Models internal physical interactions of the System of Interest, and how they combine to cause/explain externally visible behavior.
- Model has both external predictive value and phenomena-based internal-to-external explanatory value.
- Overall model may have high dimensionality.

apply here.

Data Driven Model

- Predicts the external behavior of the System of Interest, visible to the external actors with which it interacts.
- Model intermediate quantities may not correspond to internal or external physical parameters, but combine to adequately predict external behavior, fitting it to compressed relationships.

• Model has external predictive value, but not internal explanatory value. • Overall model may have reduced dimensionality.



Real Target System Being Modeled

Phenomena Occur in Presence of Interactions



The Model Characterization Pattern (MCP)—an S*Pattern

- A universal "wrapper" across all computational model types.
- Provides a common characterization for all models.
- Key to managing the model's entire life cycle, including but not limited to Model VVUQ.



Configurable MCP Feature Groups for Models (Computational Model's Stakeholder Requirements)



(See Appendix I for definitions of each Feature shown.)

Configurable MCP Domain Pattern for Model Systems



Configurable MCP Technical Requirements for Models







Model Feature, from Configurable VVUQ Pattern

Model Requirement, from Configurable VVUQ Pattern

2.6.1 Failure Mode: "The model shall include identification of component failure modes, as to underlying state leading to predicted failure."



Use in Projects: Configuring the MCP for a Model or Project

ם יי לי י				Baseline Workbook 7.0.9 Model VVUQ Pattern 2.1.48 [Compatibility Mode] - Excel						Bill Schindel 🗹 — 🗇 🗙			×	
Fi	ile Home	Insert	Page Layout Formulas	Data Revie	w View De	veloper Help	QuickBooks	Q Tell me wha	at you want to do				P₄ si	hare
Pa	nboard	rial 3 I <u>U</u> -	• 10 • A A			ext Ge & Center - \$	eneral	Conditio Formattir	nal Format as ng - Table - S	Cell Insert tyles •	Cells	∑ · A Z · Z · Sort & Filter ·	Find & Select -	~
ЦО	-	: 🗙		hortFooturos P	OW/(P2) 1 0 1 1)		T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G T T G	· # 1	Styles	I	och5	Lating	I	~
ПЭ		· [k k	N	0	D	0	D	c	т		V	
4			No. Populated Features: 33	ĸ	BUTTON 1: Attribute Fo <u>Attrib</u> Enter inform	Generate Featu orm and <u>Clear It</u> <u>ute Values</u> mation in YI	re <u>BUT</u> <u>s</u> Feature <u>Retain</u>	<u>TON 2:</u> Refres Attribute Form Its Attribute Va s only.	h and lues					
5	Mandatory, Optional, or Other Configuration Rule	Populate? (YES/NO)	Feature Name	Feature Attribute Primary Key (PK) Attribute Name	Feature Attribute PK Value #1	Feature Attribute PK Value #2	Feature Attribute PK Value #3	Feature Attribute PK Value #4	Feature Attribute PK Value #5	Feature Attribute PK Value #6	Feature Attribute PK Value #7	Feature Attribute PK Value #8	Feature Attribute PK Value #9	4
6	0	VES	Madal Envelope											
7	U	TES	Model Envelope											
8	0	YES	Pattern-Based Model Requirements		In Service Application	Production								
9	0	YE	Standards Compliance	Standard	ASME VV20	ASME VV40								Γ
10	0	YES	Trusted Configurable Pattern											
	0	YES	Validated Conceptual											-
•	⊨ <mark>Stk</mark>	chdr Config	1. Feature Populat	tion 2. Feat	Att Values E	Backup Feat Att	Values Inte	ract 🕂 🕴	•					
					1							▣ ─ −	+	90%
6														

VÝ

X

٥ř

w

E

4/16/2019

🔺 📶 🏴 📑 📢

What you can do with the MCP in Computational Model Connected Projects and Enterprises

- 1. Rapidly generate very systematic model requirements for new or existing models, for use in model development, verification, validation, and life cycle management.
- 2. More effectively plan new or improved computational models, and know when you need them, versus making use of existing model assets.
- 3. Lower the experience threshold needed to plan and manage computational models, including model VVUQ.
- 4. More effectively manage large collections of diverse computational models and related information.
- 5. Improve access to collections of models by exposing their characteristics to users more effectively.
- 6. More effectively share models across supply chains and regulatory domains.
- 7. Lower the cost and time necessary to obtain trusted/credible models in regulated or other domains.
- 8. Use or manage models that were generated by others; increase the range of others who can effectively use models that you generate; reduce the likelihood of model misuse.
- 9. Improve the accumulation and effective use of model-based enterprise knowledge.
- 10. Improve the integration of model-related work across specific engineering disciplines and overall systems engineering.
- 11. Increase ability to manage the integration of multiple computational models (e.g., using FMI), including their integrated VVUQ.

Infrastructure: Mapping the Model Characterization S*Pattern to specific enterprise practices, tools, languages, model types

- A configured MCP for some computational model will typically refer to diverse information elements and artifacts of the model life cycle:
 - Project charter
 - Model Stakeholder and Technical Requirements
 - The conceptual model and executable model
 - Model authoring tools
 - Model execution IT systems environment
 - Modeler's Notebook
 - Phenomenon Identification and Ranking Table (PIRT)
 - Reference documentation on the System of Interest
 - Modeling Project Report
 - VVUQ Report
 - Procedures, standards, or other publications
 - Other aspects of the Model System Domain Diagram earlier in these slides
- These are often physically separated and also may be individually diverse from one model to another—but they are all part of a complex interacting "System 2":
 - A configured Model Characterization Pattern helps "pull together" those elements.



Infrastructure: Mapping the Model Characterization S*Pattern to specific enterprise practices, tools, languages, model types

- The overall process or environment owner may also provide a standard "mapping" from the <u>general</u> Model Characterization Pattern to certain local targets, such as:
 - One time for each modeling tool or modeling language:
 - FEA
 - Neural Net
 - Specific third party COTS tools
 - Specific artifact types
 - Prepared one time or infrequently



System of Interest Patterns: Accumulating Trustable Model-Based Knowledge

Configure

Specialize

Pattern

Class Hierarchy

Im prove

Pattern

- The imperative of managing model trust means Model VVUQ is not an option.
- Investment in trustable models and their VVUQ increases the need to make use of the leverage of model patterns.
- Same as the history of physical sciences.



Leveraging Model VVUQ Theory to Leverage the Economics of Trusted Model-Based Patterns

- Configured Model Characterization Pattern (MCP) Computational Model of Interest
- "Models of computational models" may sound odd, so . . .
- Why are we creating S*Models of computational models of interest?
 - 1. To package decades of rich and valuable historical progress in theory of, and standards for, scientific model verification, validation, and uncertainty quantification
 - Into forms accessible by larger communities of less expert users;
 - Without diminishing, but instead gaining, VVUQ rigor, clarity, and standards alignment;
 - 2. Leveraging not only that theory but also hard-obtained learning about domain-specific models, into a form suitable for shared group learning as domain learning advances;
 - 3. Across otherwise diverse and rapidly changing virtual models, improve sharing ability of communities of enterprises, regulators, standards groups, supply chains, trade groups, lowering innovation friction while protecting critical IP;
 - 4. Improve ability to integrate families of diverse models across a single system or SoS;
 - 5. Enhance shared understanding of model planning, justification, documentation, migration, enhancement, and other model life cycle issues.







Want to Learn More? Participate?

- For more information on:
 - ASME VV50 Subcommittee on Computational Model Life Cycle
 - INCOSE Model-Based Patterns Working Group
 - V4 Institute

Consult the References section

References

- National Research Council, Assessing the Reliability of Complex Models: Mathematical and Statistical Foundations of Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification, Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2012. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/13395</u>.
- Hightower, Joseph, "Establishing Model Credibility Using Verification and Validation", INCOSE MBSE Workshop, IW2017, Los Angeles, January, 2017.
 <u>http://www.omgwiki.org/MBSE/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=mbse:incose_mbse_iw_2017:models_and_uncertainty_in_decision_making_rev_a.pptx</u>
- 3. INCOSE MBSE Patterns Working Group, "MBSE Methodology Summary: Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE), Based On S*MBSE Models", V1.5.5A, retrieve from: <u>http://www.omgwiki.org/MBSE/doku.php?id=mbse:pbse</u>
- 4. V4 Institute, "Trusted Models, Collaborative Learning, Accelerated Capability", *Proc. of INCOSE 2018 Great Lakes Symposium on Systems Engineering: V4I Tutorial*, May 17, 2018, Indianapolis. Retrieve from:

http://www.omgwiki.org/MBSE/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=mbse:patterns:v4i_workshop_v1.5.2.pdf

- Schindel, W., "What Is the Smallest Model of a System?", Proc. of the INCOSE 2011 International Symposium, International Council on Systems Engineering (2011). Retrieve from: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283141249 What Is the Smallest Model of a System</u>
- 6. _____, "Got Phenomena? Science-Based Disciplines for Emerging Systems Challenges", *Proc. of the INCOSE 2016 International Symposium*, Edinburgh, UK, 2016. Retrieve from: <u>http://www.omgwiki.org/MBSE/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=mbse:patterns:got_phenomena_v1.6.4.pdf</u>

Appendix I: MCP Features--Configurable Stakeholder Requirements for a Computational Model



Stakeholders for Models



Model Stakeholder Type	Definition				
Model User	A person, group, or organization that directly uses a model for its agreed upon purpose. May include technical specialists, non-technical decision-makers, customers, supply chain members, regulatory authorities, or others.				
Model Developer	A person who initially creates a model, from conceptualization through implementation, validation, and verification, including any related model documentation. Such a person may or may not be the same as one who subsequently maintains the model.				
Model Maintainer	A person who maintains and updates a model after its initial development. In effect, the model maintainer is a model developer after the initial release of a model.				
Model Deployer-Distributor	A person or organization that distributes and deploys a model into its intended usage environment, including transport and installation, through readiness for use.				
Model Use Supporter	A person who supports or assists a Model User in applying a model for its intended use. This may include answering questions, providing advice, addressing problems, or other forms of support.				
Regulatory Authority	An organization that is responsible for generating or enforcing regulations governing a domain.				
Model Investor-Owner	A person or organization that invests in a model, whether through development, purchase, licenses, or otherwise, expecting a benefit from that investment.				
IT Environment Maintainer	A person or organization that maintains the IT environment utilized by a computational model.				

Computational Model Feature Groups: Configurable for Specific Models

Model Identity and Focus Identifies the main subject

or focus of the model.

Model Scope and Content

Describes the scope of content of the model.

Model Life Cycle Management

Describes the related model life cycle management capabilities.

Model Utility

Describes the intended use, user, utility, and value of the model.

Model Credibility

Describes the credibility of the model.

Model Representation

Describes the representation used by the model, along with related artifacts.

The Full Set of MCP Features



Computational Model Feature Groups: 35 Features, in 6 Feature Groups, <u>Configurable for Specific Models</u>





Feature Feature Container Superclas		Feature Name Config Rule Ref for Population		Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition	
	*	×	· ·	·	·	•		Ŧ
Model Identity		Modeled		Identifies the type of external environmental	Domain Type(s)	X	Name(s) of modeled	
and Focus		Environmental		domain(s) that this model includes.			domains. More than one	
		Domain					instance may be	
							populated.	
Model Identity		Modeled System		Identifies the type of system this model	System of Interest		Name of system of	
and Focus		of Interest		describes.			interest, or class of	
							systems of interest	

In ASME V&V50 subcommittee work, the Modeled System of Interest above typically focuses on a <u>manufacturing</u> process (including material in process), usually relating it to some <u>manufactured product</u>.


Feature	Feature	Feature Name	Config Rule	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
Container	Superclass		Ref for				
			Population				
,T	•	-	*	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ψ.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Model Utility		Model Ease of		The perceived ease with which the model	Perceived Model Complexity		High, Medium Low
		Use		can be used, as experienced by its			
				intended users			
Model Utility		Model Intended		The intended purpose(s) or use(s) of the	Life Cycle Process Supported	Х	The intended life cycle
		Use		model.			management process to be
							supported by the model, from the
							ISO15288 process list. More than
							one value may be listed.
Model Utility		Perceived Model		The relative level of value ascribed to the	User Group Segment	Х	The identify of using group
		Value and Use		model, by those who use it for its stated			segment (multiple)
				purpose.			
Model Utility		Perceived Model		The relative level of value ascribed to the	Level of Annual Use		The relative level of annual use by
		Value and Use		model, by those who use it for its stated			the segment
				purpose.			
Model Utility		Perceived Model		The relative level of value ascribed to the	Value Level		The value class associated with the
		Value and Use		model, by those who use it for its stated			model by that segment
				purpose.			
Model Utility		Third Party		The degree to which the model is accepted	Accepting Authority	Х	The identity (may be multiple) of
		Acceptance		as authoritative, by third party regulators,			regulators, agencies, customers,
				customers, supply chains, and other entities,			supply chains, accepting the model
				for its stated purpose.			27
							57



Feature	Feature	Feature Name	Config Rule	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
Container	Superclass		Ref for Population	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
Model Scope and Content		Explanatory Decomposition		The capability of the model to represent the decomposition of its external technical behavior, as explanatory internal ("white box") internal interactions of decomposed roles, further quantified by internal technical performance measures, and varying internal behavioral modes.			
Model Scope and Content		Failure Modes and Effects		The capability of the model to include identification and analysis of system failure modes, their impact effects, causes, and liklihoods of occurrence.			
Model Scope and Content		Managed Model Datasets		The capability of the model to include managed datasets for use as inputs, parametric characterizations, or outputs	Dataset Type	x	The type(s) of data sets (may be multiple)
Model Scope and Content		Modeled Stakeholder Value		The capability of the model to describe fitness or value of the System of Interest, by identifying its stakeholders and modeling the related Stakeholder Features.	Stakeholder Type	X	Classes of covered stakeholders. More than one instance may be populated.
Model Scope and Content		Modeled System External (Black Box) Behavior		The capability of the model to represent the objective external ("black box") technical behavior of the system, through significant interactions with its environment, based on modeled input-output exchanges through external interfaces, quantified by technical performance measures, and varying behavioral modes.			

				Model Scope and Content				
			Mod Stakel Va STAKE	eled Modeled System holder External (Black lue Box) Behavior Decomposition				
			Paran Coupl Fitn	netricParametricParametricingsCouplingsCouplingsessDecompositionCharacterization				
			Phys Archite	sical Failure Modes Anaged Model Datasets Datasets				
Feature	Feature	Feature Name	Config Rule	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	РК	Attribute Definition	
Container	Superclass		Ref for Population					
τ.	~	*	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Model Scope and		Parametric		The capability of the model to represent quantitative				
Content		Couplings		(parametric) couplings between objective behavior variables				
		Characterization		number).				
Model Scope and		Parametric		The capability of the model to represent quantitative				4
Content		Couplings		(parametric) couplings between objective external black box				
		Decomposition		behavior variables and objective internal white box behavior				
				variables.				
Model Scope and		Parametric		The capability of the model to represent quantitative				1
Content		Couplings		(parametric) couplings between stakeholder-valued measures				
		1 101635		performance measures.				
Model Scope and		Physical		The capabiliy of the model to represent the physical				-
Content		Architecture		architecture of the system of interest. This includes				
				Identification of its major physical components and their				20
				מוטווונכטנטו מו וכומנוטו ואווףג.				55

			Model En (Model An Assess CAF Asse Fi	NVEIOPE PPLICATION ENVELOPE Willy ment TREE INDEX ssment Factor actor Score	Verified Executable Model Credibility Quantitative Accuracy Refere Function Structure Accuracy Refere Uncertainty Quantification (UQ) R Speed Quantization Stability Mode I Validation Reference Standards Compliance STANDARD	e noe ference teference e	
Feature Container	Feature Superclass	Feature Name	Config Rule Ref for Population	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
-	-	-	•	•	~	-	v.
Model Credibility		Credibility Assessment		The assessed credibility of a model, based upon a credibility assessment framework.	CAF Tree Index	Х	An index indicating the position of a credibility assessment factor, in a tree of roll-up credibility assessment factors, in the form a.b.c.d.
Model Credibility		Credibility Assessment		The assessed credibility of a model, based upon a credibility assessment framework	Assessment Factor		The name of a credibility assessment factor in a credibility assessment framework
Model Credibility		Credibility		The assessed credibility of a model, based upon a credibility assessment framework	Factor Score		The score value awarded to a credibility
Model Credibility		Model Envelope		The capability of the model to meet its Model Credibility requirements over a stated range (envelope) of dynamical inputs, outputs, and parameter values.	Model Application Envelope		The range over which the model is intended for use.
Model Credibility		Pattern-Based Model Requirements		The requirements for this model were configured from the general model requirements pattern.			
Model Credibility		Standards Compliance		Conforming to formal standards for models, modeling, model VVUQ, security, information technology, or other model-supporting standards.	Standard	х	The identification of a standard applicable to models, modeling, model VVUQ, security, information technology, or other model-supporting standards.
Model Credibility		Trusted Configurable Pattern		The capability of the model to serve as a configurable pattern, representing different modeled system configurations across a common domain, spreading the cost of establishing trusted model frameworks across a community of applications and configurations.	Configuration ID		A specific system of interest configuration within the family that the pattern framework can represent.
Model Credibility		Trusted Configurable Pattern		The capability of the model to serve as a configurable pattern, representing different modeled system configurations across a common domain, spreading the cost of establishing trusted model frameworks across a community of applications and configurations.	Pattern ID		The identifier of the trusted configurable pattern.

			Model (Model Cred	Envelope APPLICATIONENVELOPE	fied table edibility tative Accuracy Reference Structure Accuracy Reference y Quantification (UQ) Reference Speed Quantization Stability de IValidation Reference		
Feature Container	Feature Superclass	Feature Name	Config Rule	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
Container	Superclass		Population				
*	v	*	*			· •	▼
Model Credibility		Validated Conceptual Model		The validated capability of the conceptual portion of the model to represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Quantitative Accuracy Reference		The specification reference describing the quantitative accuracy of the conceptual model
Model Credibility		Validated		The validated capability of the conceptual portion of the model to	Function Structure Accuracy		The specification reference describing the
		Conceptual Model Credibility		represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Reference		structural (presence or absence of behaviors) accuracy of the conceptual model compared to
Model Credibility		Validated		The validated capability of the conceptual portion of the model to	Uncertainty Quantification		The specification reference describing the
		Conceptual Model Credibility		represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	(UQ) Reference		degree of uncertainty of the Credibility of the conceptual model to the system of interest.
Model Credibility		Validated		The validated capability of the conceptual portion of the model to	Model Validation Reference		The reference documenting the validation of the
		Conceptual Model Credibility		represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.			conceptual model's Credibility to the system of interest.
Model Credibility		Verified Executable Model Credibility		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Quantitative Accuracy Reference		The specification reference describing the quantitative accuracy of the conceptual model compared to the system of interest.
Model Credibility		Verified Executable		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to	Structural Accuracy		The specification reference describing the
		Model Credibility		represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Reference		structural (presence or absence of elements) accuracy of the executable model to the conceptual model.
Model Credibility		Verified Executable Model Credibility		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Uncertainty Quantification (UQ) Reference		The specification reference describing the degree of uncertainty of the Credibility of the conceptual model to the system of interest
Model Credibility		Verified Executable		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to	Speed		The specification reference describing the
		Model Credibility		represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.			execution run time (speed) for the executable model.
Model Credibility		Verified Executable Model Credibility		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Quantization		The specification reference describing the quantization error of the executabl e model.
Model Credibility		Verified Executable Model Credibility		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Stability		The specification reference describing the level of stability of the accuracy and uncertainty of the executable model error characteristics.
Model Credibility		Verified Executable Model Credibility		The verified capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the System of Interest, with acceptable Credibility.	Model Validation Reference		The reference documenting the validation of the conceptual model's Credibility to the system of interest.

				Model Life Cycle Mar	nagement		
				Model Versioning and Configuration Management CM CAPABILLY TYPE Maintanability Maintenance Method Conceptual Model Environmental Compatibility (TENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT) Executable Model Environmental Compatibility (TENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT) Model Design Life Cycle and Retirement Design Life Design Life Cycle and Retirement Design Life	Ity ment Method Model Cost Development Co Operational Co Maintenance Co Retirement Co Retirement Co Cute Cycle Financia VVUQ Patter Learning VVUQ PATTERN I Impacted VVUC VVUQ Patter Learning VVUQ Patter Projec Persor	DSt st st ist iRisk Risk REXCEPTION 2 Feature Version t 1	
Feature Container	Feature Superclass	Feature Name	Config Rule Ref for Population	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	РК	Attribute Definition
Model Life Cycle Management		Conceptual Model Environmental Compatibility		The capability of the conceptual model to be compatibly supported by specified information technology environment(s), indicating compatibility, portability, and interoperability.	IT Environmental Component	X	The type(s) of IT environments or standards supported
Model Life Cycle Management		Executable Model Environmental Compatibility		The capability of the model to be compatibly supported by specified information technology environment(s), indicating compatibility, portability, and interoperability.	IT Environmental Component	X	The type(s) of IT environments or standards supported
Vodel Life Cycle Vanagement		Model Availability		The degree and timing of availability of the model for its intended use, including date of its first availability and the degree of ongoing availability thereafter.	First Availability Date		Date when version will first be available
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Availability		The degree and timing of availability of the model for its intended use, including date of its first availability and the degree of ongoing availability thereafter.	First Availability Risk		Risk to the scheduled date of first availability
Vodel Life Cycle Vanagement		Model Availability		The degree and timing of availability of the model for its intended use, including date of its first availability and the degree of ongoing availability thereafter.	Life Cycle Availability Risk		Risk to ongoing availability after introduction
Vodel Life Cycle Vanagement		Model Cost		The financial cost of the model, including development, operating, and maintenance cost	Development Cost	;	The cost to develop the model, including its validation and verification, to its first availability for service date
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Cost		The financial cost of the model, including development, operating, and maintenance cost	Operational Cost	•	The cost to execute and otherwise operate the model, in standardized execution load units
Vodel Life Cycle Vanagement		Model Cost		The financial cost of the model, including development, operating, and maintenance cost	Maintenance Cost		The cost to maintain the model
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Cost		The financial cost of the model, including development, operating, and maintenance cost	Deployment Cost		The cost to deploy, and redeploy updates, per cycle
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Cost		The financial cost of the model, including development, operating, and maintenance cost	Retirement Cost	•	The cost to retire the model from service, in a planned fashion
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Cost		The financial cost of the model, including development, operating, and maintenance cost	Life Cycle Financial Risk		Risk to the overall life cycle cost of the model

M	odel Life Cy	cle Manageme	nt
Model Versioning and Configuration Management	Model Maintainability	Model Deployability	Model Cost
CM CAPABILIY TYPE	Maintenance Method	Deployment Method	Development Cost Operational Cost
Conceptual Model Environmental Compatibility (IT ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT)		Maintenance Cost Deployment Cost Retirement Cost Life Cycle Financial Risk
Executable Model Environmental Compatibility	Model Design Life Cycle	Model Availability	VVUQ Pattern Learning
IT ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT	Design Life	First Availability Date	Impacted VVUQ Feature
		First Availability Risk	VVUQ Pattern Version
		Life Cycle Availability Risk	Project
			Person

Feature Container	Feature Superclass	Feature Name	Config Rule Ref for Population	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Deployability		The capability of the model to support deployment into service on behalf of intended users, in its original or subsequent updated versions	Deployment Method		The type of method used to deploy (possibly in repeating cycles) the model into its intended use environment.
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Design Life and Retirement		The capability of the model to be sustained over an indicated design life, and retired on a planned basis.	Design Life		The planned retirement date
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Maintainability		The relative ease with which the model can be maintained over its intended life cycle and use, based on capable maintainers, availability of effective model documentation, and degree of complexity of the model	Maintenance Method		The type of maintenance methodology used to maintain the model's capability and availability for the intended purposes over the intended life cycle.
Model Life Cycle Management		Model Versioning and Configuration Management		The capability of the model to provide for version and configuration management.	CM Capability Type	х	The type(s) of CM capabilities included (may be multiple)
Model Life Cycle Management		VVUQ Pattern Learning		The ability to accumulate new discoveries about model-based methods into the VVUQ Pattern, as it is applied over model life cycles. These discoveries are exceptions to the existing VVUQ Pattern, and candidates for inclusion into future versions of that pattern.	VVUQ Pattern Exception	X	A summary of the exception noted to the current VVUQ Pattern (may be multiple exceptions)
Model Life Cycle Management		VVUQ Pattern Learning		The ability to accumulate new discoveries about model-based methods into the VVUQ Pattern, as it is applied over model life cycles. These discoveries are exceptions to the existing VVUQ Pattern, and candidates for inclusion into future versions of that pattern.	Impacted VVUQ Feature		The impacted existing, modified, or additional feature of the VVUQ Pattern.
Model Life Cycle Management		VVUQ Pattern Learning		The ability to accumulate new discoveries about model-based methods into the VVUQ Pattern, as it is applied over model life cycles. These discoveries are exceptions to the existing VVUQ Pattern, and candidates for inclusion into future versions of that pattern.	VVUQ Pattern Version		The version of the VVUQ Pattern in current use before change.
Model Life Cycle Management		VVUQ Pattern Learning		The ability to accumulate new discoveries about model-based methods into the VVUQ Pattern, as it is applied over model life cycles. These discoveries are exceptions to the existing VVUQ Pattern, and candidates for inclusion into future versions of that pattern.	Project		Identifies the project in which the exception was noted
Model Life Cycle Management		VVUQ Pattern Learning		The ability to accumulate new discoveries about model-based methods into the VVUQ Pattern, as it is applied over model life cycles. These discoveries are exceptions to the existing VVUQ Pattern, and candidates for inclusion into future versions of that pattern.	Person		Identifies the person describing the exception

				Model Representatio	n		
				Conceptual Model Representation Executable Model Representation Conceptual Model Representation Type Conceptual Model Interoperability Executable Model Executable Model Executable Model Computational Model Artifacts Modeled Syste Context ArtifACT INSTANCE ID Artifact Type System of Access System Model	on Il Representation Type odel Interoperability em EM MODEL ID Representation Type		
Feature	Feature	Feature Name	Config Rule	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
Container	Superclass		Population				
T	-	*	-		• •	-	
Model		Computational		The capability of the model system (including its life cycle managemen	t Artifact Instance ID	X	The unique identifier of an artifact.
Representation		Model Artifacts		system) to create, mainain, and access artifacts of the development and	d		
Modol		Computational		Use of the model.	t Artifact Type		The type of an artifact
Representation		Model Artifacts		system) to create mainain and access artifacts of the development an	d		The type of an artifact.
Representation				use of the model.			
Model		Computational		The capability of the model system (including its life cycle managemen	t System of Access		The method of accessing an artifact.
Representation		Model Artifacts		system) to create, mainain, and access artifacts of the development an	d		
				use of the model.			
Model		Conceptual		The capability of the conceptual portion of the model to represent the	Conceptual Model		The type of conceptual modeling language or
Representation		Model		system of interest, using a specific type of representation.	Representation Type		metamodel used.
		Representation					
IVIODEI Depresentation		Conceptual		The capability of the conceptual portion of the model to represent the			Ine degree of interoperability of the conceptual
Representation		Representation		system of interest, using a specific type of representation.	Interoperability		model, for exchange with other environments
Model		Executable		The capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the	Executable Model		The type of executable modeling language or
Representation		Model		system of interest using a specific type of representation	Representation Type		metamodel used
Roprocontation		Representation					
Model		Executable		The capability of the executable portion of the model to represent the	Executable Model		The degree of interoperability of the executable
Representation		Model		system of interest, using a specific type of representation	Interoperability		model, for exchange with other environments
•		Representation					-
Model		Modeled System		The capability to provide system level modeling of the larger context of	a System Model ID	X	The unique identifier of a system level model.
Representation		Context		computational model.			
Model		Modeled System		The capability to provide system level modeling of the larger context of	a System Model Representation		The type of representation used for a system model
Representation		Context		computational model.	Туре		

Appendix II: MCP Technical Requirements--Configurable Technical Requirements for a Computational Model

a.	A	В	с	D	AC	AD	AE /	AF AG	AH	AL	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN A	O AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT AU
	Model Model Sc	Identity and Focus	Model Credibility	holder Feature Group >>	Re	Mo prese	del atatio	•	м	odel L	Utilit	,							Mod	el Life Cj
2			Model Stake	holder Feature Name >>	Conceptual Model	Representation	Executable Model Representation	Model Intended Use		Perceived Model Value and Use		Third Party Acceptance	Model Ease of Use	Model Versioning and Configuration	Executable model Environmental Model Design Life	and Retirem ent. Model Medation into itev	Model Dep by ability			Model Cost
3			Model Stakeh	older Feature Attribute >>	Conceptual Model Representation	Conceptual Model Interoperability	Eventable Model Representation Eventable Model	Intersperability Life Cycle Process	User Group Regment	Level of Annual Use	Value Level	Accepting Authority	Perceived Model Complexity	CM Capability Type	IT Drvironn enta i Component Desim Life	Ma interance Method	Dep loym cut. Method	Development Cost	Operational Cost.	Maintenance Cost. Deployment Cost
4	Req ID	Model Requirement Name	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion								-								
82	5	5	. Model User Interface																	
	5.1	Model User Interface	The model user interfaces, per the [Model UI Specification] shall facilitate the efficient and effective performance of the intended	Includes reports, displays, views, and other outputs, as well as interactive user interface specifications.				×	×	x	x	×	x						×	
83			purpose of the model by a user of the designated persona type.																	
	5.2	Model User Persona	The user of the model shall have the background and capabilities indicated by						x		x		x						x	
84			the [Model User Persona].										_	$ \rightarrow $		\perp	\square	\square	$ \rightarrow$	
85	6	6 Model	Versioning and Configuration Management																	
	6.1	Model	The model shall carry versioning																	
		Versioning	information compatible with a required								×			×	×	·		1		
86	6.2	Managed	conriguration management method. The model's managed datasets chall carry						-	\vdash	-+	-+	-+	\rightarrow	+	+	+ -	\rightarrow	+	++
	0.2	Dataset	versioning information compatible with a								x			x						
87		Versioning	required configuration management																	
	6.3	Model	The model's documentation shall carry											\neg		+			\neg	+
		Documentation	versioning information compatible with a								x			x						
88		Versioning	required configuration management		_						_	_	_	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_	\square		\rightarrow	\rightarrow
89	7	7. Mo	Operating Environment		_			_	-				_	\rightarrow	+	+	\vdash	$ \rightarrow $	\rightarrow	-
30	711	IT Environment	The concentual model shall be compatible	This IT environment reference is for technologies used to support the concentual model	_									-	+	-	\square	-+	+	
91		Conceptual	with [Conceptual Modeling IT	such as modeling tools, content or configuration management systems.							×				×	X	X		×	×
	7.1.2	IT Environment Implemented Model	The executable model shall be compatible with [Executable Model IT Environment].	This IT environment reference is for technologies used to support the development, deployment, maintenance, and run time use of the implemented model, such as development tools or configuration management systems. Whether the system reference	,						Ĵ				J		J		Ţ	
		. M	ndel Stakeholder Types	Model Stakeholder Features Model Regr	mnt	le 1	Trac	ed 1	o F	trs		(Ē		:	11				<u>a.</u>

Req ID	Model Requirement Name	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion
1	:	1. Model Focus and Domain	
1.1	System of Interest	The model shall identify the focal system of interest.	
1.2	External Domain	The model shall represent all the external Domain Actors with which the subject system significantly interacts	The Domain Environment is the context in which the modeled System of Interest interacts with the Actors that inhabit that domain. This part of the model requirements simply identifies (lists) those external actors, so that interactions with them may later be identified. Those interactions will be key parts of the model being specified. All external behavior is in the context of those interactions. "Interact" means exchange of energy, force, mass flow, or information, resulting in impact on state. "Significantly" means with respect to impact on the subject system stakeholder requirements (measures of effectiveness).
2		2. Scope of Model Content	
2.1	2	1 Stakeholder Fitness Model	
2.1.1	Stakeholders	The model shall represent and define all the types and instances of Stakeholders with a significant stake in the System of Interest, across its life cycle.	Models of technical systems very frequently model physics aspects (as in PB Models) or at least the external manifestations of physical behavior (as in DD Models), and both of these cases are about objective physical facts, even if stochastic. However, engineered systems are also associated with human or business values, purpose, objectives, fitness for use, or similar (e.g., KPI) considerations, it is
2.1.2	Stakeholder Features	For modeled Stakeholder for the system of interest, the model shall represent and define all the Stakeholder Features of the System of Interest, representing packages of significant stakeholder value or fitness for intended use and life cycle of the System of Interest.	the "Stakeholder Feature Attributes" that express these. Although an engineered system is designed with these considerations in mind, "fitness for purpose" or "value" are not just about the behavior of the system of interest—they are also about the external world in which the system of interest will operate. With this in mind, system models frequently include both descriptions of (1) objective technical behavior as well as (2) a description of fitness space. Other terms are sometimes used for these two ideas, but the important point is that both representation of objective technical behavior
2.1.3	Stakeholder Feature Attributes	For each identified Stakeholder Feature, the model shall represent and define all the Feature Attributes that parameterize or quantify the degree or type of stakeholder value or fitness.	and representation of stakeholder value are essential to engineered systems. This includes "trade studies"/ tradeoff analysis, other change impact analyses, failure modes and effects analyses, sensitivity analyses, and other engineering uses apply models of both technical behavior and its value.
2.1.4	Parametric CouplingsFitness	For each Measure of Effectiveness (Feature Attribute), the model shall represent the quantitative coupling that determines its values versus those of the Measures of Performance upon which its valuation or fitness depends.	The External Technical Performance Attributes, identified earlier above, and the Fitness or Value Attributes, also identified earlier above, are "coupled" in the sense that there are a quantitative relationships (couplings) between them. These "curves" or "surfaces" are how we express variation of utility with respect to technical performance. Examples include likelihood of purchase selection versus (coupled to) the technical features of a smart phone, or relative preferences for speed versus cost of an automobile.

Pog ID	Model Requirement	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion						
Key ID	Name	(configure further as needed)							
2.2		2.2 External Behavior Model							
2.2.1	External Interfaces	The Model shall represent the external Input-	Input-Outputs are flows of energy, force, mass, or information, exchanged during the inter-						
		Outputs exchanged during interactions with	noted above. These flow through Interfaces. Examples of Interfaces include radiating or absorbing						
		Domain Actors, and the external Interfaces	surfaces, mechanical connections or fasteners, hydraulic connections, electrical connectors, liquid-						
		through which they are exchanged.	liquid or liquid-solid boundaries, keyboards, displays, chemically active interfaces, sensors, actuators,						
			biologically active interfaces, etc.						
2.2.2	External	The model shall represent all the significant	All behavior, and all the laws of the physical sciences, is in the context of Interactions, consisting of						
	Interactions	external interactions that the system of interest	the exchange of energy, force, mass flow, or information, leading to state change in the interacting						
		has with its listed environmental actors, listing	entities. Representing Interactions is accordingly central to Physics-Based Models. In addition, Data-						
		which actors are involved in each interaction.	Driven Models represent discovered and compressed description of the external appearance of those						
			interactions, even though no underlying physics-based cause may be included. So, both types of						
			models require that the models include identification of all the significant external interactions that						
			the subject system has with its environmental actors. "Significant" in this requirement is always						
			evaluated in terms of its impact on the modeled system stakeholder measures of effectiveness. Note						
			that this requirement is not about interactions that are internal to the system of interest. Those are						
			only of interest for certain types of models, and covered in another section later below.						
223	ParasiticsExternal	The modeled external interactions shall include	These are in principle a subset of the External Interactions referred to in the preceding section, but						
2.2.5		any parasitic aspects which arise from choice of	are noted here so that they are not overlooked. Some interactions that a system has with its						
		internal design, materials, technologies, or	environment may be "accidents" of its design, selected technology, or the environment itself. For						
		solution approach but which were not otherwise	example, a mechanical structural member (a part) may contribute parasitic or "stray" electrical						
		required by the primary intended system	capacitance that impacts the electronic behavior of the system. In engineered (human designed)						
		purpose, where significant from a stakeholder	systems, these interactions might be considered to fall in the category of "unintended" interactions.						
		perspective.	but they are just as real as those intended, and may have large technical and stakeholder impacts.						
			Failure modes are a part of this behavior.						

Req ID	Model Requirement	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion
	Name	(configure further as needed)	
2.2		2.2 External Behavior Model	
2.2.4	Dynamical Variables	For each identified Interaction, the model shall	The external behavior Interactions identified above are further parameterized by technical Measures
	-External	include the dynamically changing quantities	of Performance, providing numerical or other measures that quantify the external behavior of the
		significant to the interaction, for both the	system objectively, without regard to stakeholder-judged "goodness". Typical measures of this type
		System of Interest and the External Actors in the	include position, temperature, pressure, rates of change of those variables, mass flow rate, timing, or
		Interaction.	other technical measures. These parameters include the variables of physics and what technical
2.2.5	Static Parameters	For each identified Interaction, the model shall	instrumentation tries to measure. They are further divided into "fast changing dynamic variables" that
	External	include the static or slow changing quantities	describe system dynamics, and "slow changing static parameters" such as heat capacity, power
		characterizing the system's performance of the	ratings, mechanical dimensions or geometry, etc.
		interaction, for both the System of Interest and	
		the External Actors in the Interaction.	
2.2.6	External Modes,	The model shall represent the different	States of a system of interest may be a finite set of "modes" (e.g., liquid, solid, gas, on, off, idling,
	States	behavioral modes (states) of the system of	cruising, stopped, shutting down, spinning up, steady state, landing, ascending, etc.) or a more
		interest that are significant to the intended use	continuous set of values of a state variable (temperature, pressure, position, velocity, etc.). In both
		of the model.	cases, the state of the system of interest bears on (influences) its responses to inputs. This part of the
2.2.7	External State	The model shall represent the possible (state)	model is concerned with the finite list of system modes. Both physics-based and data-driven models
	Transitions	transitions between the modeled system	can be used to describe differing behavior of a system of interest in those different modes (from a
		behavioral modes.	finite list of states).
2.2.8	External Mode	For each of its modeled behavioral modes	
	Characterization	(states), the model shall represent which	
		external interactions the system of interest can	
		have with its environmental actors, from the list	
		of possible interactions.	
2.2.9	Black Box	For each modeled interaction of the system of	Requirements effectively describe transformations of system inputs into system outputs,
	Requirements	interest with its environment, the required	parameterized in some cases by the system state or other parameters. "Black Box" refers to the idea
		external behavior of the system of interest shall	that all such behaviors are visible external to the system of interest, behaving as an opaque element
		be included in the model.	interacting with its environment, without visibility of its internals.

	Model	Model Dequirement	Explanation, discussion
Req ID	Requirement	(configure further as needed)	
	Name	(configure further as needed)	
2.3		2.3 Internal Behavior Model	
2.3.1	Internal Roles	For each modeled external Interaction, the	Physics-based Models describe how internal interactions within the system of interest result in
		model shall represent the decomposition of the	emergent characteristics of that system as a whole, as it interacts with its environmental actors.
		behavior of the system of interest into internal	Accordingly, the behavior of the system of interest in its external interactions is decomposed into
		interactions between internal roles.	internal behavioral components. Examples include fluid dynamics models, continuum mechanics
2.3.2	Allocatable Roles	The model shall represent the internal	models of internal elasticity stress-strain interactions, models of thermal conduction through solids,
		decomposition of the system of interest	models of mechanical part couplings leading to whole machine behaviors, etc. In each of these cases,
		functional roles until small enough to be	behavior of the whole is decomposed into behavior of smaller elements and interactions between
		allocated to single physical components of the	them.
		modeled physical architecture.	
2.3.3	Dynamical Variables-	For each modeled internal decomposed	The internal behavior Interactions identified above are further parameterized by technical Measures
	-Internal	functional role, the model shall include the	of Performance, providing numerical or other measures that quantify the behavior of the system
		dynamically changing quantities significant to	objectively, without regard to stakeholder "goodness". Typical measures of this type include position,
		the related internal interactions.	temperature, pressure, rates of change of those variables, mass flow rate, timing, or other technical
2.3.4	Static Parameters	For each modeled internal Interaction, the	measures. These parameters include the variables of physics and what instrumentation tries to
	Internal	model shall include the static or slow changing	measure. They are further divided into "fast changing dynamic variables" that describe system
		quantities characterizing the system's	dynamics, and "slow changing static parameters" such as heat capacity, power ratings, mechanical
		performance of the related internal	dimensions or geometry, etc.
		interactions.	
2.3.5	Parametric	For each behavioral role's Measure of	The External Technical Performance Attributes, identified earlier above, and the Internal Role
	Couplings	Performance, the model shall represent the	Technical Performance Attributes, also identified above, are "coupled" in the sense that there are a
	Decomposition	quantitative coupling that determines its values	quantitative relationships (couplings) between them. These curves, surfaces, tables, or other
		versus those of the internal (decomposed)	relationships express emergence of larger scale technical properties from the properties of
		Measures of Performance upon which it	decomposed roles. For Physics-Based Models, these couplings explain external behavior as emerging
		depends.	from real internal physical component interaction parameters. For Data-Driven Models, these
			couplings parameterize external behavior in terms of intermediate variables determined by pattern-
			extraction tools, but in this case those "internal" parameters may not necessarily have identified
			physical or explanatory significance.

Req ID	Model Requirement Name	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion
2.3		2.3 Internal Behavior Model	
2.3.6	Architectural	The model shall represent the set of physical	Physical architecture is the collection of material parts or segments (described by their identity, not
	Components	components of the system of interest.	behavior) and their organization (by physical relations between them). At least Physics-Based Models
2.3.7	Component	For each modeled physical component, the	typically include representation of physical architecture.
	Parameters	model shall include attributes describing the	
		type or identity of the physical component,	
		indicating material type or composition,	
		manufacturer part number, of other non-	
		behavioral identifier.	
2.3.8	Component	For each modeled physical component, the	
	Relationships	model shall represent its physical architectural	
		relationships (connection, adjacency, geometry,	
		containment hierarchy, etc.) with other physical	
		components, defining the physical architecture	
		of the system of interest.	
2.3.9	Parametric	For each modeled physical component, the	The inclusion of a specific physical material, manufactured component, or equipment item in a system
	Couplings	model shall represent the attribute value	of interest results in certain behavioral characteristics. This may be seen, for example, in material
	Characterization	couplings between the identity attributes for	data sheets, component or equipment specifications. So, there is a modeled parametric coupling
		that physical component and the behavior	between behavioral attributes (e.g., melting point, hardness, pH, conductivity, elasticity, response
		characterization attributes of any logical role	time, transfer function, production rate, fuel economy) and the identity (type) attributes of a material,
		allocated to that component by the model.	component, or equipment items (e.g., chemical identity, manufacturer part number, etc.). That
			attribute coupling associates identity attribute values with behavior attribute values.
2.3.10	ParasiticsInternal	The modeled internal behavioral roles and	These are in principle a subset of the internal behavior roles and couplings already referred to in the
		couplings shall include any parasitic aspects	above sections, but are noted here so that they are not overlooked. Some internal interactions of a
		which arise from choice of internal design,	system may be "accidents" of its design, selected technology, or external environment. For example,
		materials, technologies, or solution approach	a rotating mechanical part may contribute parasitic or "stray" vibration that impacts the behavior of
		but which were not otherwise required by the	the system. In engineered (human designed) systems, these interactions might be considered to fall in
		primary intended system purpose, where	the category of "unintended" interactions, but they are just as real as those intended, and may have
		significant from a stakeholder perspective.	large technical and stakeholder impacts. So the requirement in the those section are sufficient to
			include parasitic interactions, roles, and couplings, and with the same definition of "significant" 50
			described. Failure modes are a part of this behavior.

Req ID	Model Requirement	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion
-	Name	(configure further as needed)	
2.3		2.3 Internal Behavior Model	
2.3.11	Physical Allocation	For each modeled functional role (element of	For physically-based models, behavior (represented in the model by roles of functional interactions),
		behavior), the model shall represent an	from above sections, is ultimately associated with physical components, materials, or equipment
		allocation of that role to a physical component	items that have or perform that behavior. For those physical allocations to be unambiguous, each
		which performs or has that behavior.	functional role must be decomposed to small enough behaviors that they can be allocated to a single
2.3.12	Allocation	The model shall represent allocation of each	physical component, leaving no ambiguity as to which physical component instance is responsible for
	Uniqueness	fully decomposed functional role to not more	a behavioral role. (A physical component can have more than one role allocated to it, but one role
		than one physical component.	instance should only be allocated to one physical component.)
2.3.13	Allocation	For each modeled physical component, material,	
	Completeness	or equipment item, the model shall represent	
		the allocation of all functional roles (elements	
		of behavior) expected of that physical	
		component, material, or equipment item.	
2.3.14	Internal Modes,	The model shall represent the behavioral modes	
	States	(states) of the internal system white box roles	
		that are significant to the intended use of the	
		model.	
2.3.15	Internal State	The model shall represent the possible (state)	
	Transitions	transitions between the modeled internal	
		behavioral modes.	
2.3.16	Internal Mode	For each of its modeled internal modes (states),	
	Characterization	the model shall represent which interactions of	
		internal roles may occur during such modes.	
2.3.17	White Box	For each Modeled Black box Requirement on	"White Box" Requirements describe the behavior of the decomposed White Box internal roles of the
	Requirements	the system of interest the model shall provide	system, which, interacting with each other internal to the system of interest, result in the Black Box
		modeled White Box Requirements traceable to	external behavior. 51
		and decomposing that Black Box Requirement.	

Req ID	Model Requirement	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion
2.4	Name	2.4 Configurability	
2.4.1	Configurability	The model shall include configurability for	This is about the ability to use the model as a configurable system pattern, re-using it across different
		different cases indicated.	system configurations in a common domain.
2.5		2.5 Model Run Datasets	
2.5.1	Managed Model	The model shall include documented example,	
	Datasets	validation, and verification data sets, including	
		model inputs, model outputs, and model	
		configuration.	
2.5.2	Queryable Model	The model shall include task-specific pre-run	This option provides for post-execution dataset suitable for use by additional user tools, for
	Datasets	data sets, allowing their further use without	subsequent analysis or other use.
		additional model execution runs.	
2.5.3	Dataset Structure	The model run data sets shall satisfy [Data Set	
	and Accuracy	Structural] and [Data Set Accuracy]	
		requirements.	
2.6		Failure Modes and Effects	
2.6.1	Failure Mode	The model shall include identification of	Failures shall be judged as to their significance, based on their impact on modeled stakeholder
		component failure modes, as to underlying state	features also in the model.
		leading to predicted failure.	
2.6.2	Failure Cause	For each identified failure mode, the model shall	
		include identification of cause(s) of failure	
		mode.	
2.6.3	Failure Probability	For each identified failure mode, the model shall	
		include the probability of failure mode.	
2.6.4	Failure Effect	For each identified failure mode, the model shall	Failure effects should be signficant impacts to modeled stakeholder features.
		include the effect(s) of the mode.	
2.6.5	Effect Severity	For each identified failure effect, the model shall	Severity should be with respect to impact on modeled stakeholder features.
		include the severity of impact of the familure.	52

	Model	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion
Req ID	Requirement	(configure further as needed)	
	Name	(configure further as needed)	
3		3. Model Credibility	
3.1		3.1 Model Envelope	
3.1.1	Modeled Envelope	The model shall represent the system of interest	This is about range of validation and verification of the model. It is a different idea than the
	Fitness	over a specified (discrete or continuous) range	configurability of the model.
		or envelope of stakeholder feature	
		configurations.	
3.1.2	Modeled Envelope	The model shall represent the system of interest	
	External Technical	over a specified (discrete or continuous) range	
		or envelope of technical external environment	
		interaction configurations.	
3.1.3	Modeled Envelope	The model shall represent the system of	
	Physical Design	interest over a specified (discrete or continuous)	
		range or envelope of physical design	
		configurations.	
3.2	3.2	2 Conceptual Model Credibility	
3.2.1	Conceptual Model	Compared to the modeled system of interest	This is concerned with confidence in the structure of behavior, such as the presence or absence of
	UQFunction	over a specified model envelope, the conceptual	individual functional interactions, and includes the conditional probability of their occurrence, timing,
	Structural	model shall satisfy function structural [Accuracy	and relationships. The test of what behavior to include is with respect to its impact (through
		Requirements], within [Uncertainty	couplings) on stakeholder fitness impacting measures of effectiveness.
		Requirements], both as consistent with the	
		model's intended use.	
2 2 2 2	Concentual Model	Compared to the modeled system of interact	This is concerned with confidence in the quantiative aspects of behavior, indicated by the values of
5.2.2		ever a specified model envelope, the concentual	quantitative parameters and their couplings, and includes the conditional probability of their values
		model shall satisfy quantitative [Accuracy	The test of what behavior to include is with respect to its impact (through couplings) on stakeholder
		Requirements within [] neartainty	fitness impacting measures of effectiveness
		Paguirements], within [Oncertainty	
		model's intended use	55
		linouer's interfueu use.	

Req ID	Model Requirement Name	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion
3		3. Model Credibility	
3.3	3.3	Implemented Model Credibility	
3.3.1	Implemented	The implemented computational model shall	This is concerned with confidence in the structure of behavior, such as the presence or absence of
	Model UQ	(compared to the real modeled system of	individual functional interactions, and includes the conditional probability of their occurrence, timing,
	Structural	interest over the specified model envelope)	and relationships. The test of what behavior to include is with respect to its impact (through
		satisfy function structural [Accuracy	couplings) on stakeholder fitness impacting measures of effectiveness.
		Requirements], within [Uncertainty	
		Requirements], both as consistent with the	
		intended use of the model.	
3.3.2	Implemented	The implemented computational model shall	This is concerned with confidence in the quantiative aspects of behavior, indicated by the values of
	Model UQ	(compared with the conceptual model over a	quantitative parameters and their couplings, and includes the conditional probability of their values.
	Quantitative	specified model envelope), satisfy quantitative	The test of what behavior to include is with respect to its impact (through couplings) on stakeholder
		[Accuracy Requirements], within [Uncertainty	fitness impacting measures of effectiveness.
		Requirements], both as consistent with the	
		intended use of the model.	
3.3.3	Model Quantization	Compared with the conceptual model, the	This is concerned with implemented model errors, with respect to the idealized conceptual model,
	Error	implemented computational model shall satisfy	caused by representation using finite-resolution (e.g., limited word length digital) representations, and
		[Quantization Requirements] consistent with its	their propagated growth effects.
		intended use.	
3.3.4	Model Execution	The implemented computational model, in the	This is concerned with rate of execution of the implemented computational model in the targeted IT
	Time	[Required IT Environment] shall satisfy [Run	environment, a function of both the model design and the IT environment. It may be compared to
		Time Speed Requirements] consistent with its	real time for the modeled system, or in terms of model run time length.
		intended use.	
3.3.5	Model Error	The rate of growth in inaccuracy and uncertainty	This is concerned with propgated growth in implemented model error, over time or other forms of
	Stability	over computational run dimensions for the	propagation through the model. It can be a function of the conceptual model inherent stability
		implemented computational model shall not	sensitivity, as well as implementation approach and quantization error.
		exceed specified levels.	54

Pog ID	Model	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion
Key ID	Name	(configure further as needed)	
4		4. Model Representation	
4.1	4.1	Conceptual Model Representation	
4.1.1	Conceptual Model	The conceptual model shall represent the	
	Representation	system of interest using a designated model	
	Туре	representation or modeling language type.	
4.1.2	Conceptual Model	The conceptual model representation shall	
	Portability and	satisfy representation portability or	
	Interoperability	interoperability requirements.	
4.1.3	Conceptual Model	The conceptual model documentation shall be	
	Documentation	sufficient for use of the model over its	
	Use	designated design life, by users having the	
		capabilities indicated.	
4.1.4	Conceptual Model	"The conceptual model documentation shall be	
	Documentation	sufficient for maintenance of the model over its	
	Maintenance and	designated design life, by maintainers of	
	Support	capability indicated."	
4.1.5	Conceptual Model	The conceptual model documentation shall	The Model Requirements Pattern specifies the categories of requirements that should be included in
	Documentation	include the model requirements against which it	this documentation.
	Model	has been validated, including intended use,	
	Requirements	content, envelope, accuracy and uncertainty	
		specifications.	

Req ID	Model Requirement Name	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion
4		4. Model Representation	
4.2	4.2 Imj	plemented Executable Representation	
4.2.1	Implemented	The implemented executable model shall	
	Model	represent the system of interest using a	
	Representation	designated model language type.	
	Туре		
4.2.2	Implemented	The implemented executable model	
	Model Portability	representation shall satisfy representation	
	and Interoperability	portability or interoperability requirements.	
4.2.3	Implemented	The implemented model documentation shall be	
	Model	sufficient for use of the model over its	
	Documentation	designated design life, by users having the	
	Use	capabilities indicated.	
4.2.4	Implemented	The implemented model documentation shall be	
	Model	sufficient for maintenance and support of the	
	Documentation	model over its designated design life, by	
	Maintenance and	maintainers and supporters having the	
	Support	capabilities indicated.	
4.2.5	Implemented	The implemented model documentation shall be	
	Model	sufficient for deployment of the model over its	
	Documentation	designated design life, by deployers having the	
	Deployment	capabilities indicated.	
4.2.6	Implemented	The implemented model documentation shall	The Model Requirements Pattern specifies the categories of requirements that should be included in
	Model	include the model requirements against which it	this documentation.
	Documentation	has been verified, including intended use,	
	Model	content, envelope, quantization, esecution time,	
	Requirements	accuracy and uncertainty specifications.	56

Req ID	Model Requirement Name	Model Requirement (configure further as needed)	Explanation, discussion
5		5. Model User Interface	
5.1	Model User	The model user interfaces, per the [Model UI	Includes reports, displays, views, and other outputs, as well as interactive user interface
	Interface	Specification] shall facilitate the efficient and	specifications.
		effective performance of the intended purpose	
		of the model by a user of the designated	
		persona type.	
5.2	Model User	The user of the model shall have the background	
	Persona	and capabilities indicated by the [Model User	
		Persona].	
6	6 Model Versioning and Configuration Management		
6.1	Model Versioning	The model shall carry versioning information	
		compatible with a required configuration	
		management method.	
6.2	Managed Dataset	The model's managed datasets shall carry	
	Versioning	versioning information compatible with a	
		required configuration management method.	
6.3	Model	The model's documentation shall carry	
	Documentation	versioning information compatible with a	
	Versioning	required configuration management method.	

Reg ID	Model Requirement	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion
-	Name	(configure further as needed)	
7	7.	Model Life Cycle Management	
7.1		7.1 Operating Environment	
7.1.1	IT Environment	The conceptual model shall be compatible with	This IT environment reference is for technologies used to support the conceptual model, such as
	Conceptual Model	[Conceptual Modeling IT Environment].	modeling tools, content or configuration management systems.
7.1.2	IT Environment	The executable model shall be compatible with	This IT environment reference is for technologies used to support the development, deployment,
	Implemented	[Executable Model IT Environment].	maintenance, and run time use of the implemented model, such as development tools or
	Model		configuration management systems. Whether the system reference boundary of interest is the
			Overall Model System, which includes the IT Environment, or the smaller Model System, which does
			not include the IT Environment, some other requirements may be impacted. For example, run time
			requirements depend on the IT Environment as well as the implemented model.
7.2		7.2 Model Development	
7.2.1	Development Effort	The model development shall be completed	
		within required time, effort, and development	
		cost targets.	
7.2.2	Development	The system shall provide a model development	
	Environment	studio or development environment of type	
		[Development Environment].	
7.2.3	Model Developer	The developer of the executable model shall	This is not a requirement on Model System, but on Developer.
	Persona	have the background and capabilities indicated	
		by the [Model Developer Persona].	
7.3		7.3 Model Maintainability	
7.3.1	Maintenance Effort	The model maintenance shall be completed	
		within required time, effort, and maintenance	
		cost targets, by a maintainer of type [Maintainer	
		Persona], over the designated design life of the	
		model.	
7.3.2	Maintenance	The system shall provide a model maintenance	
	Environment	studio or maintenance environment of type	
		[Maintenance Environment].	
7.3.3	Model Maintainer	The Maintainer of the executable model shall	
	Persona	have the background and capabilities indicated	58
		by the [Model Maintainer Persona].	

Req ID	Model Requirement	Model Requirement	Explanation, discussion
	Name	(configure further as needed)	
7	7.	Model Life Cycle Management	
7.4		7.4 Model Deployability	
7.4.1	Deployment Cycles	The model deployment cycles shall be	
		completed within required time, effort, and	
		deployment cost targets, by a deployer of type	
		[Deployer Persona].	
7.4.2	Deployment	The system shall provide a model deployment	
	Environment	environment of type [Deployment	
		Environment].	
7.4.3	Model Deployer	The deployer of the executable model shall have	
	Persona	the background and capabilities indicated by the	
		[Model Deployer Persona].	
7.5		7.5 Model Retirement	
7.5.1	Retirement	The system shall provide retirement of the	
		model, or model versions, from service, on an	
		announced and scheduled basis, within the cost	
		requirements listed.	
7.5.2	Retirement Impact	The system shall compete retirement of the	
		model or model versions without compromise	
		to surviving information security requirements.	
7.6		7.6 VVUQ Pattern Learning	
7.6.1	Other Model	<insert covered<="" model="" not="" other="" requirement(s)="" td=""><td>Although the Model VVUQ Requriements Pattern is intended to describe all model requirements,</td></insert>	Although the Model VVUQ Requriements Pattern is intended to describe all model requirements,
	Requirement(s)	by this VVUQ Model Requirements Pattern.>	other discoveries may be added here, as improvements to the VVUQ Pattern.
8		8. Applicable Standards	
8.1	Standards	The model shall satisfy the requirements of	
	Compliance	[Applicable Standards List].	
8.2	Security Compliance	The model system shall satisfy [Information	59
		Security Requirements].	

Appendix III: Model Credibility Assessment Frameworks (CAFs)



wds V1.1.1

Model Credibility Assessment Feature

- Supports use of a Credibility Assessment Framework (CAF) to assess credibility of a model.
- Configurable for use with different types of CAF's.
- Preserves explicit record of basis of assessment.





Definitions

Feature Container	Feature Superclass	Feature Name	Config Rule Ref for Population	Feature Definition	Feature Attribute	PK	Attribute Definition
*	·	*	*	•	•	-	•
Model Credibility		Credibility Assessment		The assessed credibility of a model, based upon a credibility assessment framework.	CAF Tree Index	X	An index indicating the position of a credibility assessment factor, in a tree of roll-up credibility assessment factors, in the form a.b.c.d.
Model Credibility		Credibility Assessment		The assessed credibility of a model, based upon a credibility assessment framework.	Assessment Factor		The name of a crediblity assessment factor in a credibility assessment framework.
Model Credibility		Credibility Assessment		The assessed credibility of a model, based upon a credibility assessment framework.	Factor Score		The score value awarded to a credibility assessment factor for a particular model

Appendix IV: S*Metamodel, S*Models and S*Patterns



S*Metamodel informal summary pedagogical diagram (formal S*Metamodel includes additional details.)

- A System is a set of interacting components:
 - By "interact", we mean exchanging energy, forces, mass flows, or information, resulting in changes of state:



- So, a (Manufacturing or other) Process is a type of System.
- "White Box" view of a system sees its <u>internal</u> interactions.
- "Black Box" view of a system sees only its <u>external</u> behavior.



The System Phenomenon

• In the perspective described here, by <u>system</u> we mean a collection of interacting components:



- Where <u>interaction</u> involves the exchange of energy, force, mass, or information, . . .
- Through which one component impacts the <u>state</u> of another component, . . .
- And in which the state of a component impacts its behavior in future interactions.

The System Phenomenon

- <u>Phenomena</u> of the hard sciences are in each case instances of the following "System Phenomenon":
 - behavior emergent from the interaction of behaviors (phenomena themselves) a level of decomposition lower.
- For each such phenomena¹, the emergent interaction-based behavior of the larger system is a stationary path of the action integral:



• Reduced to simplest forms, the resulting equations of motion (or if not solvable, empirically observed paths) provide "physical laws" subject to scientific verification—an amazing foundation across all phenomena.

(1) When stated with rigor, special cases for non-holonomic constraints, irreversible dynamics, discrete systems, data systems, etc., led to alternatives to the variational Hamilton's Principle—but the *interaction-based structure* of the System Phenomenon remained, and the underlying related Action and Symmetry principles became the basis of modern theoretical physics.



The System Phenomenon



 It is not Systems Engineering that lacks its own phenomenological foundation—instead, the System Phenomenon has been providing the foundation for all the other disciplines all alone! Representing System Patterns: The S* Metamodel Framework

- What is the smallest amount of information we need to represent pattern regularities?
 - Some people have used prose to describe system regularities.
 - This is better than nothing, but usually not enough to deal with the spectrum of issues in complex systems.
- We use S* Models, which are the minimum model-based information necessary:
 - This is not a matter of modeling language—your current favorite language and tools can readily be used for S* Models.
 - The minimum <u>underlying information classes</u> are summarized in the S* Metamodel, for use in any modeling language.
- The resulting system model is made configurable and reusable, thereby becoming an S* <u>Pattern</u>.

Representing System Patterns: The S* Metamodel Framework

- A <u>metamodel</u> is a model of other models;
 - Sets forth how we will represent Requirements, Designs, Verification, Failure Analysis, Trade-offs, etc.;
 - We utilize the (language independent) S* Metamodel from Systematica[®] Methodology:
- The resulting system models may be expressed in a wide variety of third party COTS and enterprise information systems, based on S*Metamodel mappings to those environments.
- Has been applied to systems engineering in aerospace, transportation, medical, advanced manufacturing, communication, construction, other domains.



Taking advantage of Model-Based Systems Engineering (MBSE)

- <u>An S* Model</u> is any model conforming to the S*Metamodel.
- Typically expressed in the "views" of some modeling language or modeling conventions (e.g., mathematical ODE/PDEs, SysML[™], free body diagram, etc.)—can be mapped into any third party COTS tool
- The S* Metamodel: The smallest set of model information sufficient to describe a system for purposes of engineering or science, over the system's life cycle.
- Includes not only the physical Platform information, but all the extended system information (e.g., requirements, design, failure modes & risk analysis, design trade-offs & alternatives, decisions, etc.):





S*Metamodel informal summary pedagogical diagram (formal S*Metamodel includes additional details.)
Over two decades of S*Model and S*Patterns practice, experience using S*Metamodel

Medical Devices	Construction	Commercial Vehicle	Space Tourism	
Patterns	Equipment Patterns	Patterns	Pattern	
Manufacturing	Vision System	Packaging Systems	Lawnmower	
Process Patterns	Patterns	Patterns	Product Line	
			Pattern	
Embedded	Systems of Innovation	Consumer	Orbital Satellite	
Intelligence Patterns	(SOI) Pattern	Packaged Goods	Pattern	
		Patterns (Multiple)		
Product Service	Product Distribution	Plant Operations &	Oil Filter Pattern	
System Patterns	System Patterns	Maintenance System		
		Patterns		
Life Cycle	Production Material	Engine Controls	Military Radio	
Management System	Handling Patterns	Patterns	Systems Pattern	
Patterns				
Agile Systems	Transmission Systems	Precision Parts	Higher Education	
Engineering Life	Pattern	Production, Sales,	Experiential Pattern	
Cycle Pattern		and Engineering		
		Pattern		

Extending the Concept to Patterns, and Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE)

- An <u>S* Pattern</u> is a configurable, <u>re-usable S* Model</u>. It is an extension of the idea of a <u>Platform</u> (which is a configurable, re-usable design) or Enterprise / Industry <u>Framework</u>.
- The Pattern includes not only the physical Platform information, but all the extended system information (e.g., requirements, design, failure modes & risk analysis, design trade-offs & alternatives, decisions, etc.):



(formal S*Metamodel includes additional details.)

Concept Summary: Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE)

- By including the appropriate S* Metamodel concepts, these can readily be managed in preferred modeling languages and tools—the ideas involved here are not specific to a modeling language or specific tool.
- The order-of-magnitude changes have been realized because projects that use PBSE rapidly start from an existing Pattern, gaining the advantages of its content, and feed the pattern with what they learn, for future users.
- The "game changer" here is the shift from "learning to model" to "learning the model", freeing many people to rapidly <u>configure</u>, <u>specialize</u>, and <u>apply</u> patterns to <u>deliver value</u> in their modelbased projects.



Concept Summary: Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE)

- PBSE provides a specific technical method for implementing:
 - Platform Management and Product Line Engineering (PLE)
 - Enterprise or Industry Frameworks
 - System Standards
 - Trusted Experience Accumulation for Systems of Innovation
 - Lean Product Development & IP Asset Re-use



Definitions of Some S* Metamodel Classes

- <u>System</u>: A collection of interacting components. Example: Medical Device; Hospital Domain, Health Care Delivery System Domain.
- <u>Stakeholder</u>: A person or other entity with something at stake in the life cycle of a system. Example: Patient; Health Care Provider; Enterprise Shareholder
- <u>Feature</u>: A behavior of a system that carries stakeholder value. Example: Automatic Infusion Feature; Patient Safety Features; Device Connectivity Features
- Functional Interaction (Interaction): An exchange of energy, force, mass, or information by two entities, in which one changes the state of the other. Example: Deliver Infusion; Transmit Shock and Vibration
- Functional Role (Role): The behavior performed by one of the interacting entities during an Interaction; identified only by its externally visible behavior during interaction. Example: Patient; Device Operator; Injectable Storage Subsystem
- **Input-Output:** That which is exchanged during an interaction (generally associated with energy, force, material, or information). Example: Injected Material, Pressure, Status Signal

Definitions of some S* Metamodel Classes

- <u>System of Access</u>: A system which provides the means for physical interaction between two interacting entities. Examples: Control Button; Status Indicator; Temperature Sensor; Drive Actuator; Catheter; Tube Fitting; Beeper
- <u>Interface:</u> The association of a System (which "has" the interface), one or more Interactions (which describe behavior at the interface), the Input-Outputs (which pass through the interface), and a System of Access (which provides the means of the interaction). Examples: Injection Interface; Device Control Interface
- <u>State:</u> A mode, situation, or condition that describes a System's condition at some moment or period of time. Example: Device Off; Starting Up; Loading; Performing Injection; Diagnosing Failure; Shutting Down
- <u>Design Component</u>: A physical entity that has identity, whose behavior is described by Functional Role(s) allocated to it. Examples: 316 L Stainless Steel; Sodium Chloride; Model 300 Infusion Pump; Department 516 Laboratory
- <u>Requirement Statement:</u> A (usually prose) description of the behavior expected of (at least part of) a Functional Role. Example: "The System shall complete any injection cycle within 2 seconds."

Physical Interactions: At the heart of S* models

- S* models represent <u>Interactions</u> as explicit objects:
 - Goes to the heart of 300 years of natural science of systems as a foundation for engineering, including emergence.
 - All physical laws of science are about interactions in some way.
 - All functional requirements are revealed as external interactions (!)



S*Metamodel informal summary pedagogical diagram (formal S*Metamodel includes additional details.)

Physical Interactions: At the heart of S* models

• S* models represent <u>Physical Interactions</u> as explicit objects:



Pattern-based systems engineering (PBSE)

- Model-based Patterns:
 - In this approach, <u>Patterns</u> are reusable, configurable S* models of families (product lines, sets, ensembles) of systems.
 - A Pattern is not just the physical product family—it includes its behavior, decomposition structure, failure modes, and other aspects of its model.
- These Patterns are ready to be <u>configured</u> to serve as Models of individual systems in projects.
- <u>Configured</u> here is specifically limited to mean that:
 - Pattern model components are populated / de-populated, and
 - Pattern model attribute (parameter) values are set
 - both based on Configuration Rules that are part of the Pattern.
- S*Patterns based on the same S*Metamodel as S*Models.

Pattern configurations

- A table of configurations illustrates how patterns facilitate compression;
- Each column in the table is a compressed system representation with respect to ("modulo") the pattern;
- The compression is typically very large;
- The compression ratio tells us how much of the pattern is variable and how much fixed, across the family of potential configurations.



Two entirely different hierarchies are involved:



Universal systems nomenclature, domain-independent.



S*Models as Configurations of S*Patterns

• Patterns as Compression: Lawnmowers; IEEE 802.11



Pattern configurations

- A table of configurations illustrates how patterns facilitate compression;
- Each column in the table is a compressed system representation with respect to ("modulo") the pattern;
- The compression is typically very large;
- The compression ratio tells us how much of the pattern is variable and how much fixed, across the family of potential configurations.



Appendix V: ASELCM Pattern, Trusted Models, Effective Group Learning (Used for INCOSE Agile SE Project, INCOSE CIPR WG, etc. Generic innovation reference model: Descriptive, not prescriptive.)



- System 1: Target system of interest, to be engineered or improved.
- System 2: The environment of (interacting with) S1, including all the life cycle management systems of S1, including learning about S1.
- System 3: The life cycle management systems for S2, including learning about S2. 87



ISO 15288 processes appear 4 times, whether we recognize or not.



Models for what <u>purposes</u>? Possible ISO15288 answers



Potentially for any ISO 15288 processes:

- If there is a net benefit . . .
- Some more obvious than others.

 The INCOSE MBE Transformation is using ISO 15288 framework as an aid to migration planning and assessment.



Each <u>15288 process definition</u> suggests potentially <u>assessable model impacts</u>



- a) "Stakeholders of the system are identified.
- b) Required characteristics and context of use of capabilities and concepts in the life cycle stages, including operational concepts, are defined.
- c) Constraints on a system are identified.
- d) Stakeholder needs are defined.
- e) Stakeholder needs are prioritized and transformed into clearly defined stakeholder requirements.
- f) Critical performance measures are defined.
- g) Stakeholder agreement that their needs and expectations are reflected adequately in the requirements is achieved.
- h) Any enabling systems or services needed for stakeholder needs and requirements are available.
- i) Traceability of stakeholder requirements to stakeholders and their needs is established."

INCOSE MB Transformation; planning and assessment

- One way to stay focused pragmatically is to be very clear about explicit purposes for models.
- Because ISO 15288 offers a (relatively) well-known and accessible reference model for the life cycle management of systems, it provides a convenient "menu" listing of potential high level <u>purposes</u> of models in the life cycle of systems.
- The INCOSE Model-Based Transformation team is using this as the basis of an MBSE migration and maturation planning and assessment instrument . . .

INCOSE MB Transformation; Planning and Assessment Instrument

The INCOSE MBSE Transformation products are based on identification of --

Stakeholders in the MBSE Transformation:

- 1. Model Consumers (Model Users);
- 2. Model Creators (including Model Improvers);
- 3. Complex Idea Communicators (Model "Distributors");
- 4. Model Infrastructure Providers, Including Tooling, Language and Other Standards, Methods;
- 5. INCOSE and other Engineering Professional Societies.

Notice that group (1) is by far the <u>largest population</u> of stakeholders, for future MBSE impact potential.

Innovation Process

Models help make this real:

Shifting the emphasis from traditional focus on process and procedure, to greater emphasis on the state of the web of information passing through that process and procedure.

Compare to the traditional engineering disciplines.



Information Passing Through Innovation Process



- System 1: Target system of interest, to be engineered or improved.
- System 2: The environment of (interacting with) S1, including all the life cycle management systems of S1, including learning about S1.
- System 3: The life cycle management systems for S2, including learning about S2.



- System 1: Target system of interest, to be engineered or improved.
- System 2: The environment of (interacting with) S1, including all the life cycle management systems of S1, including learning about S1.
- System 3: The life cycle management systems for S2, including learning about S2.

Enthusiasm for Models



The INCOSE systems community has shown growing enthusiasm for "engineering with models" of all sorts:

- Historical tradition of math-physics engineering models
- A World in Motion: INCOSE Vision 2025
- Growth of the INCOSE IW MBSE Workshop
- Growth in systems engineers in modeling classes
- INCOSE Board of Directors' objective to accelerate transformation of SE to a model-based discipline
- Joint INCOSE activities with NAFEMS

Comparative Benefits and Costs Summary: Qualitative Relationships



Further analysis of the INCOSE MBE Transformation Stakeholders

Population < Size (Log)	Stakeholders in A Successful MBSE Transformation (showing their related roles and parent organizations)	Indest	S Carte Internet	Lending of Come	58 . Col Louise and	and the stress subjects	Been North Content
Model C	Consumers (Model Users):						
****	Non-technical stakeholders in various Systems of Interest, who acquire / make decisions about / make use of those systems, and are informed by models of them. This includes mass market consumers, policy makers, business and other leaders, investors, product users, voters in public or private elections or selection decisions, etc.	x	x			x	
**	Technical model users, including designers, project leads, production engineers, system installers, maintainers, and users/operators.	х	x			x	
*	Leaders responsible to building their organization's MBSE capabilities and enabling MBSE on their projects	х	x			x	
Model 0	Creators (including Model Improvers):						
*	Product visionaries, marketers, and other non-technical leaders of thought and organizations	х	x		х	x	
*	System technical specifiers, designers, testers, theoreticians, analysts, scientists	х	х		х	x	
*	Students (in school and otherwise) learning to describe and understand systems				х	x	
*	Educators, teaching the next generation how to create with models	х	х		х		
*	Researchers who advance the practice		х	х	х		
*	Those who translate information originated by others into models	х	х		х	x	
*	Those who manage the life cycle of models	х	x		х	x	
Comple	x Idea Communicators (Model "Distributors"):						
**	Marketing professionals	x	x	x		x	
**	Educators, especially in complex systems areas of engineering and science, public policy, other domains, and including curriculum developers as well as teachers	x	x	x	x		
**	Leaders of all kinds	Х	X	х	X	X	
Model I	nfrastructure Providers, Including Tooling, Language and Other Standards, Methods:						
*	Suppliers of modeling tools and other information systems and technologies that house or make use of model-based information			х			
*	Methodologists, consultants, others who assist individuals and organizations in being more successful through model-based methods	х	x	х	x		
*	Standards bodies (including those who establish modeling standards as well as others who apply them within other standards)	х				x	
INCOSE	and other Engineering Professional Societies						
*	As a deliverer of value to its membership					X	
*	As seen by other technical societies and by potential members					X	
*	As a great organization to be a part of					x	
*	As promoter of advance and practice of systems engineering and MBSE					X	

Lessons Learned: <u>Effective</u> Learning?

- In many enterprises, recording "lessons learned" is institutionalized as good practice:
 - At least, at the end of a project;
 - Often, in the form of a report or memorandum to file.
- Likewise, "Knowledge Management" efforts are noted, focusing on encoding what is deemed important for future work of others.
- Measuring effectiveness of such practices:
 - Instead of how often the data is referred to, how about . . .
 - how frequently related future work that <u>could</u> be impacted <u>is</u> effectively impacted, versus repeating similar work or problem consequences.

Lessons Learned?

Lessons Learned Report

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed aliquam odio eget massa feugiat, at tincidunt quam ullamcorper. Nullam ac purus tortor. Duis a ullamcorper augue. Pellentesque eu eros hendrerit, tempor tellus vitae, suscipit.



"We should write that spot down." 102

Lessons <u>Effectively</u> Learned?

Lessons Learned Report

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed aliquam odio eget massa feugiat, at tincidunt quam ullamcorper. Nullam ac purus tortor. Duis a ullamcorper augue. Pellentesque eu eros hendrerit, tempor tellus vitae, suscipit.





Lessons Learned: <u>Effective</u> Learning?

- <u>Where</u> are the "lessons learned" encoded? What would cause them to be <u>accessed</u>?
- Compare to biology:



- "Muscle Memory" builds "motor" learning directly <u>into a future situation</u>, for future unconscious use, <u>vs</u>. syllogistic reasoning that may not be remembered fast enough, or at all
- This is about "effective learning" for future agile use
- Just having a growing file of "lessons learned", even if text searchable, is not the same as building what we learn directly in line with the path of future related work that will have to access it in order to be executed.
- Just because we label a report "lessons learned" does not mean that those who will need this information in the future will have access to it.

Learned models from STEM (~300 years) offer the most dramatic example of positive collaborative impact of effectively <u>shared</u> and <u>validated</u> models

- Effective Model Sharing:
 - We cannot view MBSE as mature if we perform modeling "from scratch", instead of building on what we (*including others*) already know.
 - This is the basis of MBSE Patterns, Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE), and the work of the INCOSE MBSE Patterns Working Group.
 - S1 Patterns are built directly into future S2 project work of other people—effective sharing only occurs to extent it impacts future tasks performed by others.
 - This sharing may occur across individuals, departments, enterprises, domains, markets, society.
 - It applies not only to models of S1 (by S2), but also models of S2 (by S3).
- Effective Model Validation:
 - Especially when shared, models demand that we <u>trust</u> them.
 - This is the motivation for Model Validation, Verification, and Uncertainty Quantification (Model VVUQ) being pursued with ASME standards committees.
 - Effectiveness of Model VVUQ is essential to MBSE Maturity.
 - Because Model VVUQ adds significantly to the cost of a trusted model, MBSE Patterns are all the more important—they IP of enterprises, industries.

An emerging special case: Regulated markets

- Increasing use of computational models in safety-critical, other regulated markets is driving development of methodology for Model VVUQ:
 - See, for example, ASME V&V 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60.
- Models have economic advantages, but the above can <u>add new costs to development</u> of models for regulatory submission of credible evidence:
 - Cost of evidentiary submissions to FDA, FAA, NRC, NTSB, EPA, OSHA, when supported by models—includes VVUQ of those models.
- This suggests a vision of collaborative roles for <u>engineering professional societies</u>, along with regulators, and enterprises:
 - Trusted shared MBSE Patterns for classes of systems
 - Configurable for vendor-specific products
 - With Model VVUQ frameworks lowering the cost of model trust for regulatory submissions
- Further emphasizes the issue of <u>trust in models</u>...

An emerging special case: Regulated markets



- Trusted shared MBSE Patterns for classes of systems
- Configurable for vendor-specific products
- With Model VVUQ frameworks lowering the cost of model trust for regulatory submissions


Sealing Ring

Cover Plate

<u>Appendix VI</u>: Example Configured MCP--Heavy Duty Oil Filter Manufacturing and Product Performance



Manufacturing Interaction: Form Thermo Compression Bond

Bonding Management System

Compression

Control

Heating Control

Manufacturing Unit



Constrain Statemer

Requirements Statements may be viewed as Transfer Functions across Functional Roles, areatly improving ability to audit regular detail requirements by embedding them in the Model:

Control Comma

Heating

Control Comm

Compression

Actuator

Heat Source

Requirement OFM-32: "The Manufacturing System shall deliver a Compression Force of [Min Bond Force] for a period of [Min Bond Time]".

Requirement OFM-33: "The Manufacturing System shall deliver Thermal Energy sufficient to maintain a bond temperature of [Min Bond Temperature] for a period of [Min Bond Time]."

Requirement OF-51: "The Oil Filter shall operate at lubricant pressure of [Max Lubricant Pressure] with structural failure rates less than [Max Structural Failure Rate] over an m-service life of [Min Service Life]."

haracterizati

Parametric attribute couplings cross manufacturing process, materials, and product performance domains



Configuring the MCP for a Model or Project



Features	Functional Role	Req ID	Requirement					
•	•	3						
Model Availability [], Model Ease of Use[], Model Intended Use[0], Model Intended Use[Verification Process], Model Intended Use[Risk Management Process]	Virtual Model System	7.1	The model user interfaces, per the [Model UI Specification] shall facilitate the efficient and effective performance of the intended purpose of the model by a user of the designated persona type.					
Specific Patte Project Model Configur	rn Tation Specific	Model	The Model shall represent the external Input-Outputs exchanged during interactions with Domain Actors, and the external Interfaces through which they are exchanged.					
Needs Proce Model Requ	ess Require	ments 3	The modeled external interactions shall include any parasitic aspects which arise from choice of internal design, materials, technologies, or solution approach but which were not otherwise required by the primary intended system purpose, where significant from a stakeholder perspective.					
(Part of the MCP)			The model shall include identification of component failure modes, as to underlying state leading to predicted failure.					
		2	For each identified failure mode, the model shall include identification of cause(s) of failure mode.					
Requirement_Requirem	Image: Constraint of the second se	3	For each identified failure mode, the model shall include the probability of failure mode.					
Requirement Requirem Requirement Requirem Requirement Requirem	ent Requirement ent Requirement ent Requirement	4	For each identified failure mode, the model shall include the effect(s) of the mode.					
Failure Modes and Effects[]	Virtual Model System	2.6.5	For each identified failure effect, the model shall include the severity of impact of the failure.	1				
Sampling of resultin	g populated N ents	Лodel	nodel shall represent the system of interest over a specified (discrete or nuous) range or envelope of technical external environment interaction gurations.	113				

From SysML Model of Oil Filter Product Family



Configuring MCP Model Stakeholder Features



Configuring MCP Stakeholder Attribute Values

	E 5 & =						Local A	Agent Excel Environme	nt v5.1.5.xlsm - Excel	1				Ja	ion Sherey 🖪	- 0 ×
	File Home Insert	Page Layout	Formulas Data	Review View	Developer Add	-ins Help Q	Tell me what you wa	nt to do								P₄ Share
(36 🔻 : 🗙	√ f _x														^
-	B	с	D	E F	G	HI	J k	L	M	N O	Р	Q R	S T	U	V	v x
1	Feature Name	PK Feature Attribute	PK Feature Attribute Value	Name of Feature Attribute #1	Value of Feature Attribute #1	Name of Feature Attribute #2	Value of Feature Attribute #2	Name of Feature Attribute #3	Value of Feature Attribute #3	Name of Feature Attribute #4	Value of Feature Attribute #4	Name of Feature Attribute #5	Value of Feature Attribute #5	Name of Feature Attribute #6	Value of Feature Attribute #6	Name of Feature Attribute #7
14	Model Availability			First Availability Date		First Availability Risk		Life Cycle Availability Risk								
11	Model Cost			Development Cost		Operational Cost		Maintenance Cost		Deployment Cost		Retirement Cost		Life Cycle Financial Risk		
16	Model Deployability			Deployment Method												
17	Model Design Life and Retirement			Design Life												
18	Model Maintainability			Maintenance Method												
19	Model Versioning and Configuration Management	CM Capability Type	Basic Version Management													
20	Computational Model Artifacts	Artifact Instance ID	Verification Simulation Report	Artifact Type		System of Access										
F 22 55	Computational Model Artifacts	Artifact Instance ID	Validation Simulation Report	Artifact Type		System of Access										
	UserView_1 (+)															

Resulting Configured Computational Model Reqs

🗶 Ca	Cameo Systems Modeler 19.0 - Model Characterization Pattern 2.148 [MD File 1.0.4].mdzip [C\Users\Sherey\Documents\V4I\ASME conference 201805\]								x
		Pattern Features and Fea, Configured Requirements 🗙 Pattern Functional Inter Pattern Logical Systems Pattern Feature Interact Pattern Interaction Role Pattern Requirements 📓 Pattern Feature Overview							
	* *	🖡 🔶 🗄 🔁 🗄 🖸 Add New 🗋 Add Existing 🛊 Delete 🦷 Remove From Table 🕴 🗱 🗸 🏠 🐥 👫 Columns 👔 🖬 Export 🏭 + 🕴 🛠 + 🕴 🧲 🕴 🖛 👘 Export							
	#	Functional Interaction	Functional Role	△ Name	Text	Functional Failure	Status	Rationale	
3	1 5	View Conceptual Model[SOI]	LS Virtual Model System[]	⁸⁵ 1.1	The model shall identify the focal system of interest.				
8	2 🖪	View Conceptual Model[DOM]	LS Virtual Model System[]	^{RS} 1.2	The model shall represent all the external Domain Actors with which the subject system significantly interacts.				1 1
a l	3 F	View Conceptual Model[CPLNGSFITNES]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.1.4	For each Measure of Effectiveness (Feature Attribute), the model shall represent the quantitative coupling that determines its values versus those of the Measures of Performance upon which its valuation or fitness				-
	4 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.2.1	The Model shall represent the external Input-Outputs exchanged during interactions with Domain Actors, and the external Interfaces through which they are exchanged.				-
	5 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.2.2	The model shall represent all the significant external interactions that the system of interest has with its listed environmental actors, listing which actors are involved in each interaction.				
	6	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.2.3	The modeled external interactions shall include any parasitic aspects which arise from choice of internal design, materials, technologies, or solution approach but which were not otherwise required by the primary intended system purpose, where significant from a stakeholder perspective.				1
Ě	7 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.2.4[For each identified Interaction, the model shall include the dynamically changing quantities significant to the interaction, for both the System of Interest and the External Actors in the Interaction.				1
	8 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.2.5	For each identified Interaction, the model shall include the static or slow changing quantities characterizing the systems performance of the interaction, for both the System of Interest and the External Actors in the				1
aa 🛛	9 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	^{RS} 2.2.6	The model shall represent the different behavioral modes (states) of the system of interest that are significant to the intended use of the model.				1
	10 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.2.7[The model shall represent the possible (state) transitions between the modeled system behavioral modes.				
Řа	11 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.2.8	For each of its modeled behavioral modes (states), the model shall represent which external interactions the system of interest can have with its environmental actors, from the list of possible interactions.				-
<u>۳</u>	12 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.2.9	For each modeled interaction of the system of interest with its environment, the required external behavior of the system of interest shall be included in the model.				
	13 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	^{RS} 2.3.1	For each modeled external Interaction, the model shall represent the decomposition of the behavior of the system of interest into internal interactions between internal roles.				1
	14 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.3.2	The model shall represent the internal decomposition of the system of interest functional roles until small enough to be allocated to single physical components of the modeled physical architecture.				1
	15 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	^{RS} 2.3.3[For each modeled internal decomposed functional role, the model shall include the dynamically changing quantities significant to the related internal interactions.				
	16 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.4[For each modeled internal Interaction, the model shall include the static or slow changing quantities characterizing the system's performance of the related internal interactions.				
	17 F	View Conceptual Model[CPLNGSDECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	ଛ 2.3.5[For each behavioral roles Measure of Performance, the model shall represent the quantitative coupling that determines its values versus those of the internal (decomposed) Measures of Performance upon which it depends.				
	18 5	View Conceptual Model[PHYSARCH]	LS Virtual Model System[]	^{RS} 2.3.6	The model shall represent the set of physical components of the system of interest.				1
	19 5	View Conceptual Model[PHYSARCH]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.7[For each modeled physical component, the model shall include attributes describing the type or identity of the physical component, indicating material type or composition, manufacturer part number, of other non-behavioral				
	20	View Conceptual Model[PHYSARCH]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.3.8[]	For each modeled physical component, the model shall represent its physical architectural relationships (connection, adjacency, geometry, containment hierarchy, etc.) with other physical components, defining the physical architecture of the system of interest.				
	21	View Conceptual Model[CPLNGSCHAR]	LS Virtual Model System[]	^{RS} 2.3.9[For each modeled physical component, the model shall represent the attribute value couplings between the identity attributes for that physical component and the behavior characterization attributes of any logical role allocated to that component by the model.				
	22	View Conceptual Model[CPLNGSCHAR]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.10[The modeled internal behavioral roles and couplings shall include any parasitic aspects which arise from choice of internal design, materials, technologies, or solution approach but which were not otherwise required by the primary intended system purpose, where significant from a stakeholder perspective.				
	23 F	View Conceptual Model[PHYSARCH]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.11[For each modeled functional role (element of behavior), the model shall represent an allocation of that role to a physical component which performs or has that behavior.				_
	24	View Conceptual Model[PHYSARCH]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.12[The model shall represent allocation of each fully decomposed functional role to not more than one physical component.				_
	25 F	View Conceptual Model[PHYSARCH]	LS Virtual Model System[]	RS 2.3.13	For each modeled physical component, material, or equipment item, the model shall represent the allocation of all functional roles (elements of behavior) expected of that physical component, material, or equipment item.				_
	26 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.14[The model shall represent the behavioral modes (states) of the internal system white box roles that are significant to the intended use of the model.				_
	27 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.15[The model shall represent the possible (state) transitions between the modeled internal behavioral modes.				_
	28 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.16	For each of its modeled internal modes (states), the model shall represent which interactions of internal roles may occur during such modes.				-
	29 F	View Conceptual Model[DECMP]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.3.17	For each Modeled Black box Requirement on the system of interest the model shall provide modeled White Box Requirements traceable to and decomposing that Black Box Requirement.				-
	30 F	Configure Model from Pattern[]	LS Virtual Model System	▶ 2.4.1	The model shall include configurability for different cases indicated.				-
	31 F	View Datasets[Model Validation Dataset]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.5.1	The model shall include documented example, validation, and verification data sets, including model inputs, model outputs, and model configuration.				-
	32 F	View Datasets[Model Validation Dataset]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.5.2	The model shall include task-specific datasets from previous model executions, allowing their further use without additional model execution runs.				-
	33 F	View Datasets[Model Validation Dataset]	LS Virtual Model System[]	№ 2.5.3	The model run data sets shall satisfy [Data Set Structural] and [Data Set Accuracy] requirements.				_
	34 F	View Conceptual Model[FMEA]	LS Virtual Model System[]	₹ 2.6.1	Ine model shall include identification of component failure modes, as to underlying state leading to predicted failure.				_
	35	View Conceptual Model[FMEA]	LS Virtual Model System	№ 2.6.2	For each identified failure mode, the model shall include identification of cause(s) of failure mode.				-
	36 F	View Conceptual Model[FMEA]	LS Virtual Model System[]	▶ 2.6.3	For each user traure mode, the mode is shall include the probability of failure mode.				-
	37 F	View Conceptual Model[FMEA]	LS Virtual Model System[]	8 2.6.4	For each users makes mode, we mode is shall include the effect(s) of the mode.				-
	38	View Conceptual Model[FMEA]	LS Virtual Model System[]	8 2.6.5[]	ror each genome rature errect, one model shall include the seventy of impact of the ramiure.				-
	39 F	View Conceptual Model[EXTRN]	LS Virtual Model System[]	▶ 3.1.2	Ine model shar represent the system of interest over a specified (discrete or continuous) range or envelope of technical external environment interaction configurations.				
	40 IF.	View Concentual Model[DECMP]	II SI Virtual Model System []	1813.1.31	In the model, shall represent the system of interest over a specified (discrete or continuous) range or envelope or physical design continurations.	<u></u>			1
								Show Desc	:ription
F	ilter is no	t applied. 77 rows are displayed in the table.							

Notification Window

🕀 100% 🕶 🔍 🌒 🔬 24 W 🖓 📑