

INCOSE/OMG MBSE Initiative PBSE Patterns Challenge Team



Meeting: August 18, 2014

(Schedule adjustable as needed)

Meeting Agenda

INCOSE PBSE Patterns Challenge Team (of MBSE Initiative)

Web Conference Meeting: Monday, August 18, 2014, 4:00 – 5:30 PM EST


Pre-Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minutes of IS2014 team meetings, June 29-30, 2014• (If you were not in IW2014 or IS2014 meetings of this group, then read the IS2013 meeting materials and the Patterns Challenge Team charter, both on the web site below) Team web site on MBSE wiki: http://www.omgwiki.org/MBSE/doku.php?id=mbse:patterns:patterns	
Meeting start up: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review of meeting objectives and agenda	4:00 – 4:15 PM EST
Challenge Team Current Projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reference to pre-reading and information resources• List of known team projects in progress or starting• General goals of these projects and related team charter objectives• Plans for related IS2015 and GLRC2014 paper(s)	4:15 – 4:30
Walk-through of first segments of S*Pattern(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stakeholders and Features Model (configurable)<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Purpose○ Examples from current project pattern(s)○ Q&A• Plans for next segment of S* Pattern(s): Domain Model (configurable)	4:30 – 5:15
Planning Next Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pattern review meetings schedule, by pattern segment• Plans for IW2015• Outreach: Who else should be involved?	5:15 – 5:30
Closing: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Contact information• Adjourn	5:30

For more information, contact-- Bill Schindel schindel@ictt.com Troy Peterson peterson_troy@bah.com

Background

- This Challenge Team is concerned with configurable, re-usable system models, called “S*Patterns”:
 - Models containing a certain minimal set of elements are called S*Models
 - May be expressed in any modeling language (e.g., SysML, or other)
 - Re-usable, configurable S*Models are called S*Patterns
 - By “Pattern-Based Systems Engineering” (PBSE) we mean MBSE enhanced by these generalized assets
 - These are system-level patterns (models of whole managed platforms), not smaller-scale component design patterns

Patterns Challenge Team Deliverables

- Types of deliverables considered in our Team Charter:
 - a) Target System Patterns 
 - b) Target System Pattern Applications
 - c) Business Process Implications Model of PBSE
 - d) Demonstration of PBSE support in Tools and Information Systems
 - e) PBSE Tutorials
 - f) Other target products
- Specific cases of Target System Patterns were nominated by team members over several meetings


From IS2014 meeting minutes of this group:

Shaded are known to be active

- a) **A Manufactured Product Pattern and associated Manufacturing System Pattern:** Bill confirmed he continues to generate this and remains committed to this deliverable.
- b) **Northrop Grumman Electronic Systems Domain Pattern:** Tamara Vallinoto gave a verbal presentation on her team's having won funding for and begun development of an NGC ES Domain Pattern. She believes timing will be good for involvement in an IS2015 paper.
- c) **Verification System Pattern:** Andy Pickard (Rolls-Royce) was not in attendance, but indicated during IS2014 that he would like to add Review Approver Identification to this pattern; Dan Dvorak (NASA JPL) indicated that he has not been in touch with Andy on this pattern yet, since their discussion of it during the January meeting.
- d) **RC Vehicle Pattern:** Troy Peterson (Booz Allen) summarized his plans to construct an RC Vehicle Pattern, connected to purchasable designs (systems and components), adding autonomous systems aspects.
- e) **Agile System Pattern:** Rick Dove (Paradigm Shift, Int'l) summarized work he has planned with the Agile Systems WG and the Secure Systems WG, and his interest in potentially expressing his Agile System Pattern using PBSE. He will continue to consider this with Bill during his 2014 planning phase, but does not currently intend to begin until the 2015 workshops he is still planning.
- f) **Secure System Pattern:** Rick Dove, see immediately above.
- g) **NDIA Domains Pattern:** Crash Konwin was not in the June meeting to discuss this.
- h) **SEMP/SEP Generation Pattern:** Shams was not in the June meeting to discuss this.
- i) **Restaurant Pattern:** One member of the proposing team (Eric Berg) participated in this June meeting remotely, but the chair neglected to ask Eric during the meeting to discuss his continued interest in pursuing this pattern as a 2014 deliverable.

Walk-through of some initial S*Pattern segments

- Stakeholders, stakeholder Features, and their Associations:
 - **Stakeholders**: People or Organizations with a “stake” in the behavior of the system of interest.
 - **Features**: Selectable sets of stakeholder-valued system behaviors, in (often non-technical, subjective) language and concepts of stakeholders.
 - **Feature Attributes**: Parameterize the Features, with variables that the stakeholder cares about (e.g., Passenger Seating Capacity for a bus)




Once we establish a Pattern for a Platform or Product Line System, specific configurations are generated by selection (population) of Features, and setting values for Feature Attributes.

An example S*Pattern Extract

Lubricant (Oil) Filter Product Family


Example S*Pattern Stakeholder Feature Overview Model



Example S*Pattern Stakeholder Feature Model Extract



Feature	Feature Attribute	Multi-Instance	Attribute Definition	Attribute Units	Attribute Values
Optimal Product Configuration Feature	Product Configuration	X	Identifies the configuration of the product, as a model ID. Multiple configurations may be populated.	N/A	
Optimal Product Configuration Feature	Product Configuration Volume		The number of units of this product configuration produced per year.	Units/Year	
Filter Application	Application Type	X	The type of lubricated system application supported by a lubricant filtration system. More than one type may be instantiated for a single product configuration.	N/A	Consumer Automotive, Commercial Automotive, Fixed Base Engine System, Harsh Environment, High Temperature Environment, Cold Environment
Filter Application	Application Volume		The number of units of this application placed into service during a year.	Units/Year	
Filter Application	Lubricant Type		The type of lubricating fluid to be used.	N/A	
Filter Application	Lubricant Flow Rate		The rate at which the lubricating fluid must be circulated in order to meet equipment lubrication objectives.	GPM	High, Medium, Low
Filter Application	Lubricant Pressure Range		The amount of hydraulic pressure under which the lubricant will circulate.	PSI	High, Medium, Low
Filter Application	Filter Efficiency Class		The profile of filtration efficiency provided by the filter	N/A	
Mechanical Compatibility Feature	Spatial Form Factor		The class of three dimensional structure of a component, subsystem, or space within a system reserved for a component or subsystem.	N/A	
Mechanical Compatibility Feature	Mechanical Interface Type		The mechanical class of the interface between the oil filter and the equipment to which it is connected.	N/A	
Cost of Operation Feature	Lubricant Life		The amount of time that a lubricant is intended to operate, meeting requirements within the specified environment, before it is replaced.	Hours	

Example S*Pattern Stakeholder Feature Overview Model





What modeling tools, languages will we use?

- S*Metamodel is modeling language independent:
 - Readily expressed in SysML or other modeling languages.
 - For INCOSE work, if the sub-team does not have a conflicting goal, we'd encourage use of SysML, familiar to more in INCOSE.
 - Be prepared to learn a few things that the modeling language standards have not quite caught up with yet.
 - One of our team's spin-offs is feedback to Sandy Friedenthal's inputs on future SysML releases.
 - If you have a different language in mind, we'll help.



Examples from Enterprise Architect (a SysML Modeling Tool)



	Enterprise Shareholder	Machine Maintainer	Machine Operator	Machine Owner	Machine Supplier	Product Distribution Channel	Regional Community
Additive Feature		↑		↑	↑		
Cost of Operations Feature				↑			
Disposable Media Feature		↑		↑	↑		
Distribution Channel	↑	↑		↑		↑	
Ease of Installation Feature		↑					
Environmentally Friendly Feature							↑
Filter Application				↑	↑		
Filter Service Monitoring Feature		↑		↑			
Health & Safety Feature		↑	↑	↑			
Manufacturability Feature	↑						
Market-Application-Coverage Feature	↑						
Market-Distribution Coverage Feature	↑						
Market Segment	↑					↑	
Mechanical Compatibility Feature		↑		↑	↑		
Optimal Package Configuration Feature	↑					↑	
Optimal Product Configuration Feature	↑						
Regulatory Compliance Feature				↑			
Reliability Feature		↑	↑	↑	↑		
Reusable Media Feature		↑		↑			

Examples from
Enterprise Architect
(SysML Modeling Tool)

Discussion of your Patterns . . .

Stakeholders, Features

Our near-term, time-based Challenge Team goal

- In the second half of 2014:
 - Make enough sub-team progress on selected patterns important to members to support . . .
 - One or more INCOSE IS2015 papers for Seattle (paper drafts due November, complete in March)
 - One or more INCOSE GLRC2014 presentations for Chicago (October)
- In support of this goal:
 - Bill Schindel offers to hold bi-weekly, web-based Pattern Review Work Sessions throughout the second half of 2014, starting this summer.
 - The purpose of these sessions will be to assist sub-teams in preparing S*Patterns that conform to the S*Metamodel and meet the application goals they have in mind.
- So, the question is:
 - Which sub-teams want to pursue their nominated Patterns during this period?
 - Following is what that would mean . . .

Tentative S*Patterns web meeting work session series time line

- Seeing the sausage-making:
 - Opportunity of seeing approaches and progress by others in the same part of an S*Pattern you are working on (e.g., Features)
- A proposed time schedule, assuming bi-weekly sessions:

Sessions	Configurable S*Pattern Construction
Aug	Configurable Features Model; Domain Model
Sep	Domain Model; Interactions; States
Oct	Detail Interactions; Requirements; Attribute Couplings
Nov	Logical Architecture; Detail Interactions; Requirements
Dec	Physical Architecture; Failure Modes
Jan	More about configuration rules

- Bill's commitment: Provide guidance to sub-teams
- Commitments by participants:
 - Willing to work on draft updates between review sessions
 - Willing to participate in bi-weekly review sessions (1.5-2.0 hours)
 - Also desirable: Willing to consider contributing to an INCOSE paper¹⁶

Scheduling and communications

- Meet every two weeks, for 1.5-2.0 hours
- Surveyed team calendar fit
- Suggested:
 - Monday, Aug 18, 4:00 – 5:30 PM EST
 - Tuesday, Sep 2, 4:00 – 5:30 PM EST
 - And Mondays thereafter . . .

- Team web site:

<http://www.omgwiki.org/MBSE/doku.php?id=mbse:patterns:patterns>

Related Activities by Other WGs and MBSE Initiative

- Other groups in the MBSE Initiative are creating a cloud resource for working groups and teams such as ours:
 - On-line shared models repository, for sharing models
 - On-line access to limited set of tool vendor licenses, for use in INCOSE projects
- News from other members, WGs:
 -
 -
 -
 -
 -

Back up materials—from earlier
team meetings

The references above may be downloaded from:

<https://sites.google.com/site/incosepbsewgtempaccess/>

From Draft Charter: Team Stakeholders / Measures of Success

- **System Innovation / Development Teams**: Enjoy the benefits of MBSE with lower per-project model-origination and refinement time, effort, skill load, and risk, by employing configured System Patterns as early draft models.
- **System Modelers**: Extend the span of influence of skilled individual modelers by making their models effectively available, applicable, and impactful to more projects, systems, and products.
- **Product Line Managers, Platform Managers, Portfolio Managers**: Improve the effectiveness of families-of-systems disciplines, measured in terms of economic leverage.
- **System Verification Teams**: Improve the performance of system verification planning and execution in high risk or complexity systems.
- **System Life Cycle Groups**: Improve satisfaction with the early fit of systems to the learned needs of system life cycle communities, including manufacturing, distribution, end user, operations, and maintenance, over a broad range of issues that should not be re-discovered each generation (functionality, safety, many other aspects).
- **Tool Suppliers**: Improve the ROI demonstrated by tools.
- **Enterprises**: Improve organizational-level learning across individual people and projects, reducing occurrences of re-learning the same lessons and repeating the same mistakes.

From Draft Charter: General Plan Overview / Description

Phase 1: (Time period to be established)

1. Supplement start-up team membership with other interested team members, sharing and refining charter and gaining team buy-in to this plan.
2. Bring team membership to a common level of PBSE understanding, using PBSE Tutorials conducted in recent years at IS, GLRC, and chapter levels, including example System Pattern content.
3. Identify target products for near-term work by the team:
 - a. Target System Patterns
 - b. Target System Pattern Applications
 - c. Business Process Implications Model of PBSE
 - d. Demonstration of PBSE support in Tools and Information Systems
 - e. PBSE Tutorials
 - f. Other target products

Phase 2: (Time period to be established)

4. Create and validate targeted Challenge Team products, prioritized from above

Phase 3: (Time period to be established)

5. Make Challenge Team products available to INCOSE membership, extending benefits.

From Draft Charter: A Specific Challenge Encouraged by MBSE Initiative Leadership (for Infusion of MBSE Across Organizations)

- Generate two or more configured MBSE models across multiple systems and system domains from single system pattern asset(s) leveraged across them.
- The specific domains and systems will be chosen based on the team membership's priority interests, but are currently expected to include at least one multiple-configuration manufactured product line system, as well as the manufacturing system that produces it, linked together.
- This challenge will include quantification of the demonstrated economies or other gains obtained through pattern asset leverage, and the infrastructure (e.g., tools, processes) necessary to support those gains.
- An IS2015 paper describing this is likewise encouraged.

From Draft Charter: Individuals Indicating Interest in 2013

Name	Organization	Contact Information
Bill Schindel	ICTT System Sciences	Schindel@icctt.com
Troy Peterson	Booz Allen Hamilton	Peterson_troy@bah.com
Jason Sherey	ICTT System Sciences	Sherey@icctt.com
Stephen Lewis	ICTT System Sciences	Lewis@icctt.com
Ann Hodges	Sandia National Laboratories	Alhodge@sandia.gov
David Hetherington	Asatte Press	David.Hetherington@asattepress.com
Eric Berg	Procter & Gamble	Bergec@pg.com
Fred Samson	Booz Allen Hamilton	Samson_frederick@bah.com
Mike Vinarcik	Booz Allen Hamilton	Vinarcik_michael@bah.com
David Cook	Moog, Inc.	Dcook@moog.com
David Rogers	Rolls-Royce	David.Rogers2@rolls-royce.com
Sandy Friedenthal	SAF Consulting	Safriedenthal@gmail.com

Participants in January, 2014, organizing meeting at IW2014


<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Email</u>
Eric Berg	Procter & Gamble	berg.ec@pg.com
JD Baker	Sparx Systems	jbaker@sparxsystems.com
Martine Callot	Airbus Group Innovations	martine.callot@eads.net
Greg Campeau	Becton Dickinson	gregory_b_campeau@bd.com
Joe Conover *	Allison Transmission	Joseph.Conover@allisontransmission.com
Dan Dvorak	NASA / Cal Tech JPL	Daniel.L.Dvorak@jpl.nasa.gov
Vincent Hodges	Northrup Grumman Corp.	vincent.hodges@ngc.com
Crash Konwin	Booz Allen Hamilton	konwin_kenneth@bah.com
Shane Mays *	Procter & Gamble	mays.rs@pg.com
Dave Mooney	Northrup Grumman Corp.	Dave.Mooney@ngc.com
Troy Peterson **	Booz Allen Hamilton	peterson_troy@bah.com
Andy Pickard	Rolls-Royce	Andrew.C.Pickard@rolls-royce.com
Dave Rogers *	Rolls-Royce	David.Rogers2@rolls-royce.com
Mike Russell	Booz Allen Hamilton	Russell_Michael@bah.com
Bill Schindel **	ICTT System Sciences	schindel@icct.com
Dan Stege	John Deere	StegeDanielK@JohnDeere.com
John Thomas	INCOSE	john.thomas@incose.org
Katie Trase	NASA Glenn Research Center	kathryn.trase@nasa.gov
Tamara Valinoto	Northrup Grumman Corp.	tamara.valinoto@ngc.com
Shamsnaz Viranio	Worcester Polytechnic Institute	ssvirani@wpi.edu
George Walley	Ford Motor Company	george.walley@incose.org
Charles Wasson	Wasson Consulting	wslse@cpws.net

Participants in June, 2014, team meetings at IS2014


<u>Name</u>		<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Email</u>	<u>Day 1</u>	<u>Day 2</u>	<u>Dial-In</u>
Arnold	Eileen	UTC Aerospace Systems	eileen.arnold@utas.utc.com		*	
Berg	Eric	Procter & Gamble	berg.ec@pg.com		*	*
Cloutier	Rob	Stevens Institute	robert.cloutier@stevens.edu		*	
Denman	Steve	IBM	sddenman@us.ibm.com		*	
Dove	Rick	Paradigm Shift International	dove@parshift.com	*		
Dvorak	Dan	NASA / Cal Tech JPL	daniel.l.dvorak@jpl.nasa.gov		*	
Mays	Shane	Procter & Gamble	mays.rs@pg.com	*		
Nalluri	Siva	Siemens PLM Software	sivarama.nalluri@siemens.com		*	*
Peterson (**)	Troy	Booz Allen Hamilton	peterson_troy@bah.com	*	*	
Qureshi	Khurshid	Dassault Systemes	khurshid.qureshi@3ds.com		*	
Riley	Tom	Thales UK	thomas.riley@thalesgroup.com		*	
Rogers	Dave	Rolls-Royce	david.rogers2@rolls-royce.com		*	*
Sanyal	Saumya	K2Firm	sksanyal@visi.com		*	
Schindel (**)	Bill	ICTT System Sciences	schindel@ictt.com	*	*	
Stege	Dan	John Deere	stegedanielk@johndeere.com		*	*
Valinoto	Tamara	Northrup Grumman Corp.	tamara.valinoto@ngc.com	*		*
Vinarcik	Michael	Booz Allen Hamilton	vinarcik_michael@bah.com	*		
Zwemer	Dirk	interCAX	dirk.zwemer@intercax.com		*	*

Patterns Demand Strongest Underlying Models

- The S*Metamodel describes the smallest set of ideas necessary to model a system for purposes of engineering or science:
 - Most of them familiar to modelers, and all of them basic to the training of engineers and scientists—*but not always found in their system models.*
 - A metamodel is a model of other models;
 - Sets forth underlying concepts of Requirements, Designs, Failures, Trade-offs, etc. (not modeling language syntax)
- The resulting S*Models may be expressed in SysML or other modeling languages, and constructed / reside in numerous commercial tools and information systems.
- Has been applied to SE in aerospace, transportation, medical, advanced manufacturing, communication, construction, consumer, other domains.




- The PBSE approach respects the systems engineering tradition, body of knowledge, and historical lessons, while providing a high-gain path forward.
- *An S* Pattern is a configurable, re-usable S* Model.* It is an extension of the idea of a Platform (which is a configurable, re-usable design). The Pattern includes not only the Platform, but all the extended system information (e.g., requirements, risk analysis, design trade-offs & alternatives, decision processes, etc.):



- By including the appropriate S* Metamodel concepts, these can readily be managed in (SysML or other) preferred modeling languages and tools—the ideas involved here are not specific to a modeling language or specific tool—ported to several.
- The order-of-magnitude changes have been realized because projects that use PBSE rapidly start from an existing Pattern, gaining the advantages of its content, and feed the pattern with what they learn, for future users.
- The “game changer” here is the shift from “learning to model” to “learning our (your) model”, freeing many people to rapidly configure, specialize, and apply patterns to deliver value in their model-based projects.

A little more about S*Patterns


- Fixed (Pattern) Portion, Variable (Configuration) Portion, and the Configuration Process:
 - The generalized S*Pattern is expressed in exactly the same S*Metamodel classes and relationships as a specific configured S*Model derived from it.
 - “Configuring” a pattern means a process limited to exactly two things:
 - Populating (or de-populating) instances of classes and relationships
 - Setting the values of attributes (parameters)




A little more about S*Patterns

- Having an S*Pattern meeting the underlying S*Metamodel demands has some surprising positive consequences beyond basic benefits of MBSE:
 - The Stakeholder Feature portion of the pattern directly generates a formal Trade Space / Scoreboard for arguing, defending all decisions.
 - “Configuring” the (low dimension) Stakeholder Feature portion of the Pattern for a specific project or system configuration can “automatically” generate the (high dimension) configured Technical Requirements for that system configuration.
 - For a sufficiently built-out S*Pattern, the same applies to the System Design (physical architecture, allocations, attribute couplings, etc.).
 - The S*Pattern can rapidly generate very complete first draft FMEA tables, since S*Features lead directly to modeled Effects, S*Requirements lead directly to modeled Counter-Requirements (functional failures), S*Design Components lead directly to modeled Failure Modes, and combinatorial FMEA analyses of the three together may be rapidly generated by machine matching algorithm.
- All these produce much faster initial drafts that are much more complete and consistent than manual approaches, but which can (should) still be subject to the normal human SME review and update:
 - We are not suggesting turning our thinking and fate over to the model, without human judgment, expertise, etc.


Example S*Pattern Application Domain Model



Example S*Pattern Manufacturing Domain Model




Example S*Pattern State (Modes) Model



Example S*Pattern Interaction Overview Model

Manufacturing Domain Interactions




Distribution Domain Interactions



Application Domain Interactions




Example S*Pattern Feature-Interaction Associations Model (Part of Pattern Configuration Model)



Example S*Pattern Interaction Overview Model Extract

Interaction Name	Interaction Definition	Oil Filter System	Service Person	Mounting System	Ambient Air	Removed Solid Contaminant	Lubricant In Filtration	Removed Water	Local Surface	Lubricated System	Lubricant In Distribution	Lubricant Distribution Pump	Lubricant Transport Containment	Waste Management System	Manufacturing System	Distribution System	Package	Buyer
Filter Lubricant	The interaction during which the oil filter system filters the lubricant in filtration.	X		X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X					
Impregnate Lubricant Additive	The interaction during which the manufacturing system impregnates the oil filter with lubricant additive.	X													X			
Fold Accordion Pleats	The interaction during which the manufacturing system folds the sheet oil filter element into the form of accordion pleats.	X													X			
Cut & Separate Filter Element	The interaction during which the manufacturing system cuts and separates individual oil filter elements.	X													X			
Wind Filter Element	The interaction during which the manufacturing system winds the fiber oil filter element into a cylindrical shape.	X													X			
Insert Filter Element	The interaction during which the manufacturing system inserts the filter element into the filter housing.	X													X			
Perform End Seal Bonding	The interaction during which the manufacturing system bonds the end seal of the oil filter.	X													X			
Inspect Product	The interaction during which the manufacturing system inspects the finished oil filter product.	X													X			
Insert Into Package	The interaction during which the manufacturing system inserts the finished oil filter product into the package.	X													X	X	X	
Remove Filter Media	The interaction during which maintainer removes the filter media from the oil filter system.	X	X															
Clean Filter Media	The interaction during which the maintainer cleans the filter media.	X	X															
Insert Filter Media	The interaction during which the maintainer inserts the filter media back into the filter housing.	X	X															
Roll Filter Element	The interaction during which the manufacturing system rolls the sheet filter element into a cylindrical shape.	X													X			
Transmit Shock & Vibration	The interaction during which the oil filter system is subject to, and transmits, mechanical shock and vibration originating externally.	X		X														
Monitor Filter	The interaction through which the service person or lubricated equipment monitors the condition of the oil filter.	X	X															
Prevent Vapor Leakage	The interaction through which the oil filter prevents undue quantities of gaseous vapor contaminants from reaching the external local atmosphere.	X			X													
Prevent Lubricant Leakage	The interaction through which the oil filter prevents undue quantities of lubricant from escape from its portion of the lubrication loop.	X					X		X									
Transmit Thermal Energy	The interaction through which the oil filter receives and transmits thermal energy, originating in external components.	X		X	X		X											

Example S*Pattern Logical Architecture Model



Example S*Pattern Requirements Model -- Extract


Interaction	Role	ID	Requirement Statement
Filter Lubricant	Oil Filter System	OF-50	For a Return Lubricant stream of [Lubricant Viscosity Range] and [Lubricant Pressure Range], the Oil Filter shall separate Filtered Contaminant particles from the Lubricant output stream, according to the [Filter Particle Size Distribution Profile].
Filter Lubricant	Oil Filter System	OF-51	The Oil Filter shall operate at lubricant pressure of [Max Lubricant Pressure] with structural failure rates less than [Max Structural Failure Rate] over an in-service life of [Min Service Life].
Filter Lubricant	Oil Filter System	OF-52	The Oil Filter shall accommodate a Lubricant flow rate of [Lubricant Flow Rate].
Filter Lubricant	Lubricant Distribution Pump	OF-53	The Pump shall maintain oil pressure within the [Lubricant Pressure Range].
Filter Lubricant	Lubricant In Filtration	OF-54	The Lubricant in Filtration shall have viscosity within the [Lubricant Viscosity Range].
Filter Lubricant	Lubricated Machine	OF-55	The Lubricated Machine shall contribute a Contaminant Load to the lubricant, not to exceed [Lubricant Contaminant Load Rate].
Filter Lubricant	Lubricated Machine	OF-56	The Lubricated Machine shall not heat the lubricant above [Max Lubricant Temperature].
Inject Additive	Oil Filter System	OF-57	The Oil Filter shall inject additive of type [Additive Type] into the lubricant flow, at a rate of [Additive Injection Rate] per unit of lubricant flow, over the service life of the filter element.
Remove Filter Media	Oil Filter System	OF-90	The Oil Filter System shall permit the removal of its used Filter Media.
Remove Filter Media	Oil Filter System	OF-91	The Oil Filter System filter media removal process shall allow the service person to avoid direct contact contamination with filtered contaminants and lubricant.
Clean Filter Media	Oil Filter System	OF-92	The Oil Filter System shall permit the cleaning of its used Filter Media, for reuse purposes, using cleaning solvent and method of type [Filter Media Cleaning Method and Solvent].
Clean Filter Media	Oil Filter System	OF-93	The Oil Filter System filter cleaning process shall allow the service person to avoid direct contact contamination with filtered contaminants and lubricant.
Insert Filter Media	Oil Filter System	OF-94	The Oil Filter System shall permit the insertion of its Filter Media, of type [Filter Media Type].
Insert Filter Media	Oil Filter System	OF-95	The Oil Filter System filter media insertion process shall allow the service person to avoid direct contact contamination with filtered contaminants and lubricant.
Transmit Shock & Vibration	Oil Filter System	OF-100	The system shall meet its other requirements when subject to a vibration spectrum not exceeding [Max Vibration Spectrum] during its in-service life.
Transmit Shock & Vibration	Oil Filter System	OF-101	The system shall meet its other requirements when subject to shock intensity and frequency not exceeding [Max Shock Intensity and Frequency] during its in-service life.
Monitor Filter	Oil Filter System	OF-102	The system shall provide a means of inspection of its remaining service life before requiring servicing, using [Filter Monitoring Method].
Prevent Vapor Leakage	Oil Filter System	OF-103	When operating within its rated lubricant pressure and temperature, at altitudes not exceeding [Max Service Altitude], the system shall maintain Vapor Leakage to the ambient air space below [Max Vapor Leakage Rate].
Prevent Lubricant Leakage	Oil Filter System	OF-104	When operating within its rated lubricant pressure and temperature, at altitudes not exceeding [Max Service Altitude], the system shall maintain Fluid Leakage to the surrounding space below [Max Fluid Leakage Rate].
Transmit Thermal Energy	Oil Filter System	OF-105	The system shall meet its other requirements while operating in external ambient air temperatures of [External Temperature Range] and lubricant temperatures of [Lubricant Temperature Range].
Install Filter	Oil Filter System	OF-106	The Oil Filter shall be manually installable in ten minutes or less, using only a screwdriver.
Install Filter	Oil Filter System	OF-107	The Oil Filter shall have installation instructions printed on its exterior surface, in [National Language] language.
Install Filter	Oil Filter System	OF-110	The Oil Filter shall not present sharp edge hazards to the installer during the installation process.
Install Filter	Oil Filter System	OF-111	The Oil Filter shall be clearly labeled with instructions to shut down pressurized equipment prior to installation.
Install Filter	Service Person	OF-112	The Service Person with the visual acuity and hand strength of an average 40 year old adult shall be able to install the Oil Filter System.
Install Filter	Service Person	OF-113	The Service Person shall be capable of reading [National Language] at the tenth grade level.

Pattern Configurations

Product/Feature	Ice Road Trucking	Consumer Auto	Commercial Auto	Fixed Based Engine
Engine Lubricant Filtration Feature	Cold Environment	Consumer Automotive	Commercial Automotive	Fixed Based Engine System
Mechanical Compatibility Feature	X	X	X	X
Cost of Operation Feature	X	X	X	X
Reliability Feature	X	X	X	X
Maintainability Feature	X	X	X	X
Additive Feature	No. 7 Efficiency Boost	No. 5 Life Extension	No. 6 Efficiency Boost	No. 3 Efficiency Boost
Environmentally Friendly Feature	X	X	X	X

Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE)



- Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE) has two overall processes:
 - **Pattern Management Process**: Generates the general pattern, and periodically updates it based on application project discovery and learning;
 - **Pattern Configuration Process**: Configures the pattern into a specific model for application in a project.




Pattern Configurations, Model Compression

- A table of configurations illustrates how patterns facilitate compression;
- Each column in the table is a compressed system representation with respect to (“modulo”) the pattern;
- The compression is typically very large;
- The compression ratio tells us how much of the pattern is variable and how much fixed, across the family of potential configurations.

Lawnmower Product Line: Configurations Table									
		Units	Walk-Behind	Walk-Behind	Walk-Behind	Riding	Riding	Riding Mower	Autonomous
			Push Mower	Mower	Self-Propelled	Rider	Tractor	Tractor	Autonomous
			Push Mower	Self-Propelled	Wide Cut	Rider	Lawn	Garden	Auto Mower
	Model Number		M3	M5	M11	M17	M19	M23	M100
	Market Segment		Sm Resident	Med Resident	Med Resident	Lg Resident	Lg Resident	Home Garden	High End Suburban
Power	Engine Manufacturer		B&S	B&S	Tecumseh	Tecumseh	Kohler	Kohler	Elektroset
	Horsepower	HP	5	6.5	13	16	18.5	22	0.5
Production	Cutting Width	Inches	17	19	36	36	42	48	16
	Maximum Mowing Speed	MPH	3	3	4	8	10	12	2.5
	Maximum Mowing Productivity	Acres/Hr			1.6				
	Turning Radius	Inches	0	0	0	0	126	165	0
	Fuel Tank Capacity	Hours	1.5	1.7	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.5	2
	Towing Feature						x	x	
	Electric Starter Feature				x	x	x	x	
	Basic Mowing Feature Group		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Mower	No. of Anti-Scalping Rollers		0	0	1	2	4	6	0
	Cutting Height Minimum	Inches	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1.5	1.2
	Cutting Height Maximum	Inches	4	5	5	6	8	10	3.8
	Operator Riding Feature					x	x	x	
	Grass Bagging Feature		Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	
	Mulching Feature		Standard	Factory Installed	Dealer Installed				
	Aerator Feature					Optional	Optional	Optional	
	Autonomous Mowing Feature								x
	Dethatching Feature					Optional	Optional	Optional	
Physical	Wheel Base	Inches	18	20	22	40	48	52	16
	Overall Length	Inches	18	20	23	58	56	68	28.3
	Overall Height	Inches	40	42	42	30	32	36	10.3
	Width	Inches	18	20	22	40	48	52	23.6
	Weight	Pounds	120	160	300	680	705	1020	15.6
	Self-Propelled Mowing Feature			x	x	x	x	x	x
	Automatic TransmFeature							x	
Financials	Retail Price	Dollars	360	460	1800	3300	6100	9990	1799
	Manufacturer Cost	Dollars	120	140	550	950	1800	3500	310
Maintenance	Warranty	Months	12	12	18	24	24	24	12
	Product Service Life	Hours	500	500	600	1100	1350	1500	300
	Time Between Service	Hours	100	100	150	200	200	250	100
Safety	Spark Arrest Feature		x	x	x	x	x	x	



Business process optimized for PBSE fulfill a different vision:




Why do most representations of the systems engineering process appear to assume starting from no formal knowledge about the system of interest & its domain?


Original Charter: Patterns Spanning Organizational Domains

- Our team's Charter and the example pattern we showed illustrate patterns that span organizational domains; e.g.:
 - A product system, in its application domain, *along with* . . .
 - The production system that produced that product
 - . . . and possibly other related domains (support, distribution, etc.)
- This cross-domain patterns approach is particularly encouraged by our MBSE Initiative's leadership:
 - So, at least one of the projects this team is pursuing is a cross-domain product application and production pattern family.

Oil Filter, Application Domain



Oil Filter Manufacturing System



During IW, attendees also suggested work on other patterns

1. Restaurant System Pattern : Describing requirements and possibly high level design of Service Providing System/Kitchen “Meal Manufacturing” System/Business Process System, configurable for different classes of restaurants.

Interest from: Katie Trase, Eric Berg, JD Baker

Purpose: Illustrate System Requirements Patterns

During IW, attendees also suggested work on other patterns

2. Engineering Verification Pattern: Describing (a) the structure of Target Engineered System model data to “pre-cast” it in an expected form that is suited for ease of verification analysis (in the most advanced case, by automated analysis; in other cases, by human analysis), along with (b) the Verification Process Pattern for the verification business process, providing configurability to different verification agents, to indicate what kind of agent is required to analyze or verify a given case—different levels of seniority or experience, or even an automated agent, in different cases.

Interest from: Dan Dvorak, Andy Pickard

Purpose: Improve target system models and engineering processes to gain effectiveness and efficiency in the review process.

During IW, attendees also suggested work on other patterns

3. SEMP/SEP generation pattern: Describing the auto-generation of SEMP/SEPs from target system patterns.
 - Interest from: Shams Viranio, JD Baker, Bill Schindel
 - Purpose: aid to anyone generating a SEMP/SEP; education for engineering students.

During IW, attendees also suggested work on other patterns

4. NDIA Domains Pattern: Aid in the form of a high level System Pattern, conforming to the seven defense system domains categorized by NDIA, including their cross-domain relationships.

Interest from: Crash Konwin, Troy Peterson, Shams Viranio

Purpose: Provide configurable pattern (model) basis for NDIA domains, and their relationships.

Additional patterns nominated by others, to support work being pursued by INCOSE members and WGs

5. Northrop Grumman (Tamara Valinoto):
 - Electronic Systems Pattern

6. Booz Allen Hamilton (Troy Peterson):
 - Vehicle Systems Pattern

7. INCOSE Agile Systems Working Group (Rick Dove):
 - Agile System Architectural Pattern
 - Agile SE

8. INCOSE Security Working Group (Rick Dove):
 - Secure System Pattern

1. Eric Berg, "Affordable Systems Engineering: An Application of Model-Based System Patterns To Consumer Packaged Goods Products, Manufacturing, and Distribution", at INCOSE IW2014 MBSE Workshop, 2014.
2. Bill Schindel, Troy Peterson, "Introduction to Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE): Leveraging MBSE Techniques", in Proc. of INCOSE 2013 Great Lakes Regional Conference on Systems Engineering, Tutorial, October, 2013.
3. W. Schindel, "System Interactions: Making The Heart of Systems More Visible", in Proc. of INCOSE Great Lakes 2013 Regional Conference on Systems Engineering, October, 2013.
4. Bill Schindel, Troy Peterson, "Introduction to Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE): Leveraging MBSE Techniques", in Proc. of INCOSE 2013 International Symposium, Tutorial, June, 2013.
5. "Abbreviated Systematica Glossary, Ordered by Concept, V 4.2.2, ICTT System Sciences, 2013.
6. W. Schindel, "Introduction to Pattern-Based Systems Engineering (PBSE)", INCOSE Finger Lakes Chapter Webinar, April 26, 2012.
7. -----, "Integrating Materials, Process & Product Portfolios: Lessons from Pattern-Based Systems Engineering", in Proc. of 2012 Conference of Society for the Advancement of Material and Process Engineering, 2012.
8. -----, "What Is the Smallest Model of a System?", in Proc. of the INCOSE 2011 International Symposium, International Council on Systems Engineering (2011).
9. -----, "The Impact of 'Dark Patterns' On Uncertainty: Enhancing Adaptability In The Systems World", in Proc. of INCOSE Great Lakes 2011 Regional Conference on Systems Engineering, Dearborn, MI, 2011
10. -----I, "Failure Analysis: Insights from Model-Based Systems Engineering", in *Proceedings of INCOSE 2010 Symposium*, July 2010.
11. J. Bradley, M. Hughes, and W. Schindel, "Optimizing Delivery of Global Pharmaceutical Packaging Solutions, Using Systems Engineering Patterns", in Proc. of the INCOSE 2010 International Symposium (2010).
12. W. Schindel, "Pattern-Based Systems Engineering: An Extension of Model-Based SE", INCOSE IS2005 Tutorial TIES 4, (2005).
13. -----, "Requirements Statements Are Transfer Functions: An Insight from Model-Based Systems Engineering", in *Proc. of INCOSE 2005 International Symposium*, (2005).
14. W. Schindel, and V. Smith, "Results of Applying a Families-of-Systems Approach to Systems Engineering of Product Line Families", SAE International, Technical Report 2002-01-3086 (2002)..